CERTIFIED COPY

1	COPY
2	THIS TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS 75 PAGES
3	NUMBERED 1 THROUGH 75
4	
5	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
6	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND
7	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
8	PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY
9	
10	STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL
11	
12	Regular Meeting held Via Teleconference on
13	January 3, 2023, beginning at 1:34 p.m.
14	
15	Held Before:
16	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, WPC CHAIRMAN,
17	and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	WATER PLANNING COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN (PURA)
4	MARTIN HEFT (OPM)
5	LORI MATHIEU (DPH)
6	
7	Alternate:
8	CHRIS BELLUCCI (DEEP)
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT (on record):
11	VIRGINIA de LIMA
12	DAVID RADKA
13	DAVID KUZMINSKI
14	DENISE SAVAGEAU
15	KAREN BURNASKA
16	DAN LAWRENCE
17	ALICEA CHARAMUT
18	CAROL HASKINS
19	RICHARD HANRATTY, JR.
20	
21	Staff:
22	LAURA LUPOLI
23	ALYSON AYOTTE
24	
25	

1	(Begin: 1:35 p.m.)
2	
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon. Happy New Year. I call
4	the meeting of the Water Planning Council for
5	January 3, 2023 to order.
6	The first order of business will be the
7	approval of the December 2nd meeting transcript.
8	Do we have a motion?
9	LORI MATHIEU: So moved.
10	MARTIN HEFT: I'll second it, Lori.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions on the motion? I should
12	say this, that Graham is under the weather and we
13	have Chris substituting for him today.
14	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
15	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: The transcript is approved.
17	Do we have any public comments on agenda
18	items today?
19	
20	(No response.)
21	
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Correspondence received, I'm
23	going to the major correspondence that we have
24	received is on the draft annual report to the
25	Legislature that Virginia, David and company

worked on, and we will get to that.

And also we have correspondence received signed off by Martin Heft, the drought plan recommendations, correspondence received dated December 9th. So we will also discuss that as we get to that on the agenda. And I believe that's all the correspondence that we have received.

So we're going to move on to agency reports.
We'll start with WUCC. Lori?

LORI MATHIEU: Yes, hi. Eric McPhee cannot join us today, but he and I spoke earlier and there's a few things that he wanted to share.

The next WUCC implementation meeting is
Wednesday, February 15th at one o'clock. It's a
Teams meeting, and the agenda and the link will be
sent out in January. So you should all see that.
If you're interested in joining that particular
meeting, you can send an e-mail to me or to Eric
McPhee -- or the two of us. You're all welcome to
attend and join.

And the discussion will be the prioritization of the next implementation items. So a really important meeting. Again, that's the WUCC implementation meeting, Wednesday, February 15th, at one o'clock on Teams.

The 2023 priorities that Eric has listed, and him and I have chatted about are to assess linkages between the WUCCs themselves to really operationalize. You know the WUCCs, there's three of them and sometimes the lines and the communication lines get lost. And so just discussing the linkages between the WUCCs themselves as well as the individual water supply plans, and to make sure that we are fully incorporating the information through water supply planning into the WUCCs and as the statute and the law envisioned way back in the 1980s.

And obviously as we've been talking about, incorporate the new pieces and plans that are in place; the state water plan, our implementation and work on the GC3, and there's a lot of other things that are going on that we want to talk about.

Drought triggers. Right? And not only drought triggers for individual water utilities, but across regions and where utilities are interconnected or share water. There's a lot of discussion that we think is necessary and needed there.

Cybersecurity is another one that this year

you're going to hear a lot about. We want to push that forward and we're making some internal assignments on ramping up our efforts on cybersecurity for public drinking water systems.

Water conservation and water efficiency is another item for discussion, which rolls right into what we've talked about within our group, rate structures, supply and demand calculations.

There's many other planning issues, but those are sort of the priorities that have risen to the top of our discussions. And more to come, but again if you would like to join that meeting on February 15th at one o'clock on Teams, please -- and you don't have the link, let me know. Let Eric know. We'll provide that link to you.

You're all welcome to attend.

And that's all I have for WUCC. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori.

Any questions for Lori from the Councilmembers?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: If not, private wells?

LORI MATHIEU: Thank you Jack.

So we have been working on providing information concerning all the questions that we've been receiving, and specifically from local health directors and environmental laboratories about the information that they have on private wells and the terminology within the statute as it pertains to confidentiality of the information.

We're still working through that -- that has become quite the interesting discussion.

There's 61 local health departments. I may have mentioned this prior. Many of them do a little bit different things with that information. Some of that information in the past has been made public in a public file. So there's a lot of questions around that, what to do about confidentiality and the law change that happened.

You know, I think there's going to be even more discussion around that, that statute change. So I know there's a lot of interest in this and what it all means moving forward.

And so more to come on that as the legislative session gets started.

That's all I have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori. And finally -- all of the agency reports say, Lori -- PFAS. I believe

you had a session after our last meeting?

LORI MATHIEU: We did. So just a quick brief on that.

So we held a very nice -- I think it ended up

being about a 2 and-a-half hour meeting physically

in person, hybrid as well, at the LOB on December

8th. And Commissioners Dykes and Juthani

presided. We also invited our state agency

colleagues that are part of task force and the

Governor's action plan on PFAS.

We've recorded that, if you would like to see if you could not make it. But if you did make it, we thank you for being there. We received a lot of public comment. We took about 20, 25 minutes of public comment, which was good for us.

But essentially what the meeting was about was right before COVID started in November of 2019, we had a task force that worked for three months. We wrote an action plan, the Governor had signed off on it, and there were many items within that.

And there's three sections of the PFAS. It's a very short read, if you're interested. Again, let me know if you want to see it, but there's -- if you just google PFAS Connecticut you'll find the information on our webpage -- DEP's webpage,

there's a lot of information.

But the task force itself came up with three areas -- actually four areas of focus; public health, remediation and protection, and then also communication, education and outreach.

So we wanted to bring to everyone -- after three years of our work, it's definitely through the COVID period -- to remind everyone that there is an action plan, number one.

Number two, the work that has been done and accomplished over the last three years.

And then also, not only by DEEP and DPH, but all of our state agencies. So our sister state agencies were there and many, many presentations from other agencies about the work that they've been undertaking.

And again we received quite a bit of public comment and we'll be working toward that end to move our implementation even more forward.

You know, I was just thinking about the action plan itself and for this group maybe I can -- you know, Chris, you and I could speak with Graham and think about maybe bringing a mini presentation to this group just so that you could all hear from us directly, if you would like.

1 And it's, Chris, something you and I could chat with Graham about if you'd like. 2 3 CHRIS BELLUCCI: Yeah, certainly. Yeah we can do that. 4 LORI MATHIEU: Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, I think that's -- you're kind of 5 reading my mind. I think that's a great idea, 6 7 because there's been a lot going on. I remember 8 sitting at the first few meetings that we had over 9 at the state DOT office, kind of laying the 10 foundation for this whole thing that happened, the 11 plane crash at Bradley and then some other issues. 12 So a lot has happened since then. So I think 13 that presentation is a good idea. So let's plan 14 on doing that in the future. 15 LORI MATHIEU: Okay. Very good. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments for Lori? 17 LORI MATHIEU: You know what's interesting is, at the very end of -- and Jack you'll remember this. 18 19 the very end of us putting together the state 20 water plan PFAS had just risen up as an issue. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: It just started. That's right. 22 LORI MATHIEU: There is a very small piece. I think we 23 added it to section six or seven of the plan and 24 said, oh. By the way, this is something that 25 we're going to have to deal with in the future --

1	I think the plan says.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Put on our radar, and lo and behold.
3	Right?
4	LORI MATHIEU: Put it on our radar and then it all
5	right after I think our approval of our plan this
6	all, all broke out.
7	So yeah, I'll work with Chris and Graham on
8	following up on that.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: And Ali, make sure we make a note for
10	the next meeting that we have that on the agenda,
11	1please.
12	LORI MATHIEU: Maybe not February. Maybe March I
13	don't know.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's fine especially if Mike
15	comes to the next meeting. All right. We'll work
16	out the schedule.
17	LORI MATHIEU: All right. Thank you.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori.
19	Okay. Moving on to action items.
20	Unfortunately, Mike cannot be with us today, but
21	we do have a drought sub work summary of
22	recommendations that Martin and his team have put
23	together, and it's been sent out.
24	Martin, do you want to comment on this?
25	MARTIN HEFT: Sure. Thanks, Jack.

So first off, thanks to the drought topical sub workgroup and all the work that they put into reviewing the plan back in July of '21.

As everyone is aware that the interagency drought work groups has been going through all of the recommendations. Some of those have been adopted back in September by the Water Planning Council, and then we're still reviewing priority lists of some of the other items.

And then we also have a list of eight items which really fall under the Water Planning Council's jurisdiction, if you will, because they relate to more policy decisions. And then with that direction then the drought workgroup can then take whatever actions that way.

so not looking per se of any actions today on each of these eight items, but items that we'll want to continue on our agenda to review and may work with, obviously, the topical sub workgroup; a full report that we can pull out, the pieces there and ascertain what is the particulars of each one of those areas? And then decide whether or not it be included or where we go with that.

So that's kind of the way I kind of see that going on, and I'm happy to kind of put those

pieces together as we come up with future meetings and kind of address a couple at each meeting, or something to that nature, if that sounds fine with everybody?

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. That sounds great to me. I mean, a lot of progress has been made with this subgroup. We appreciate everybody's efforts with that.

Lori or Chris, do you have any questions or comments?

CHRIS BELLUCCI: No. No comment.

LORI MATHIEU: No, I just thank Martin for his leadership and this, this smaller group that's done the details is important.

Every drought that we go through we learn more, that's for sure. And the good thing about it is we have to constantly update things because I feel like there still are some -- there's always going to be something that we need to address in greater detail.

And I think the interesting part that we're going through now when we're meeting on Thursday is, how do you ramp down? Right? And how do you make those decisions? Which it's an interesting exercise. Right?

1 And so it's just a constant work in progress, 2 I think, and Martin has done a great job leading 3 discussion there. 4 MARTIN HEFT: Thanks, Lori. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: He really, really has, and certainly 6 have had a lot of challenges over the past year, 7 year and a half. And fortunately, I think we're 8 almost to the point where -- I don't want to jinx 9 it and say we're drought free, but we're almost --10 LORI MATHIEU: Oh, please don't. Please? No, no, no, 11 no, no. Knock on wood everybody. 12 MARTIN HEFT: And it is raining out. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: It's raining out today. Yes, yes. 14 Well, thank you very much. 15 Any questions? Comments? 16 17 (No response.) 18 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, next, we're going to move on to 20 Virginia and Dave, who are going to give us a 21 report on the draft report to the Legislature. 22 Before I turn it over to Virginia and Dave, I 23 have to say that I've reviewed this and I'm very, 24 very impressed with the work product that you and 25 the group have put out in such a short period of

time.

It's one of the best I've seen, quite frankly, and the Legislature is going to be very surprised that we're going to be getting this stuff there in a timely manner. So that would be an impetus for them to give us the million bucks we need for the -- or 800,000, that we're looking for the -- right, Martin? It's going to help us.

So anyway, I'm going to turn it over to Virginia and Dave. Thank you.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Thanks, Jack. And I also want to say thank you to the rest of you folks who, even though you just got it on Friday, had a chance to look at it and give us feedback already. That was much appreciated, and we can basically incorporate your various suggestions.

But I'm going to turn it over to Dave who really took the lead on putting this together.

DAVID RADKA: Thanks. Thanks, Virginia. And thanks, Jack.

And as Virginia mentioned, it's great that you guys have already responded with various edits, suggestions. I went through them; they all seem very reasonable.

I think Lori had a few questions that -- and

I was hoping it would be today or maybe at some near future date we could actually have a conversation and parse out which of hers you wanted -- how you wanted those answered.

And also to have you answer the question, do you want us to continue to massage this? Or is this something you want to basically take in-house in the agencies and finalize yourself?

But as far as the process, the last meeting we reported on the template that we have prepared for reporting out from the various sub topical work groups. And it was a very good process. It needs a little fine tuning, but I think as far as going forward, we recommend the team use that as far as capturing past activities and initiatives.

And hopefully -- we tried to walk a fine line between providing enough information, especially for new legislators as to what is the state water plan? What is the Water Planning Council, in enough detail, but abbreviated detail on the various initiatives without it becoming too overwhelming. So hopefully we did that. It seemed to be a good response so far.

There are a few things that I think we would still like to get some feedback on. One is we

took the liberty of, not only preparing a cover of our letter of transmittal for you and a draft fact sheet, but also trying to synthesize what we believe your priorities for 2023 and beyond are.

And those were really an amalgam of things you publicly had already captured, and that's historic priorities. And then some of the subsequent conversations that you've had at planning council meetings where you talked about the need to update and maybe tweak your priorities a little bit.

So we tried to bring those together, and I don't know if we did an accurate job capturing those. So if you could especially pay attention to that, because we don't want to obviously put words in your mouth and establish priorities for you unless you feel they really are priorities for the whole planning council.

And I think, Lori, to you -- I think you even raised the question on one of your comments is, did we really talk about lead service lines? And infrastructure was an original priority and then in subsequent conversations, the issue of lead, lead service lines did come up.

So that's why we threw it in there, but again

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if you can confirm all that, that would be very helpful.

And you know, really I just want to especially thank publicly -- I know I did it in my e-mail, Dan Aubin and Kim Czapla, to thank Gavin Kuhn, Denise Savageau and Virginia.

Because they all gave up a lot of their holiday time for all of us to work on this and get it done before the end of the year.

So I don't know if you have specific questions, but if you do we're happy to address any of them.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dave, thank you. And I want to reiterate what I sent in an e-mail, to thank everybody for the quick turnaround with this.

And you ask questions about kind of capturing what we've done, and I think you've done a really good job with that. And I actually want to get a vote to approve this today and with modifications. So if anybody wants -- like Lori or myself, or Martin or Graham or Chris, that we give it to Friday to get any revisions.

We do this with dockets all the time. So when we have a docket come through, that you approve it, and then it gives us a timeframe.

1	Because it's not going to change the overall
2	flavor of the report, so my colleagues don't have
3	any problem with that.
4	That's what I'd like to do Lori, unless
5	you want to drill down a little bit now?
6	LORI MATHIEU: I don't know. We didn't have many
7	comments. Is it worth us just going through and
8	talking about them?
9	DAVID RADKA: If I may jump in? Based on what I saw,
10	and Graham only had the one comment.
11	LORI MATHIEU: Yeah.
12	DAVID RADKA: Jack, I didn't get any specific comments
13	from you.
14	Martin, yours were very straightforward.
15	Made sense.
16	I think, Lori, you had the most that were
17	more of a questioning nature.
18	LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, you know.
19	DAVID RADKA: If you wanted to spend the time and pull
20	up your document?
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, we have time? So why don't
22	we do it, Lori?
23	LORI MATHIEU: If you could? All right. So I mean,
24	some of this stuff is like some simple stuff.
25	Make sure that, David, to your point, when people

read this you want them to know that we're a legislative group right?

So just putting in the citations that 25-330, which creates the Water Planning Council. And then 22a-352 which creates the requirement for the plan just to remind legislators those are actual statutes, they exist -- and give them links. So if they have no time they can just link on things.

Right?

Linking to the drought plan and the drought page and that information is so important, and then adding it as an appendix -- the 22-58, that subsection of that on the private well language, so actually what was passed last session.

And then I asked a question about the budget, because there was a mention of the budget.

There's a mention of the budget and it talks about -- I asked the question, is it worth adding more detail concerning budget and funding? Where you -- let me find the page -- on page 8, where you talk about the water planning chief position description.

And then it says, the last sentence you have there is -- and it's just broad -- accordingly the Water Planning Council continues to seek funding

1 for such a position, believing it crucial to advancing the plan's goals and its underpinning 2 3 legislative intent. 4 Would it be worth adding a little bit more to 5 that given that --6 VIRGINIA de LIMA: So excuse me a second, Lori. 7 Did you see Martin's comments on your 8 comments? 9 LORI MATHIEU: No, I haven't. 10 MARTIN HEFT: That's okay. Thanks, Virginia. 11 LORI MATHIEU: I'm looking at my comments only. Sorry. 12 MARTIN HEFT: Yeah -- no. And so, Lori, just -- quick 13 on that, and then I'll let you finish. 14 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, yeah. 15 MARTIN HEFT: Because I took your version, then put my 16 edits on your version. 17 LORI MATHIEU: Oh, okay. 18 MARTIN HEFT: Because I went through and part of it I 19 did -- I don't think that we should. You know I 20 added some additional wording saying, proposing 21 funding in the next biennium budget for such a 22 position. 23 LORI MATHIEU: Okay. 24 MARTIN HEFT: But I don't think we should go into the 25 full budget details in the annual report.

LORI MATHIEU: Good.

MARTIN HEFT: Because it's not even public yet per se of the Governor's budget, anything else on that.

But I did tweak the wording a little bit that way.

LORI MATHIEU: Excellent. That works for me. That works for me. That's perfect. Yeah -- no, no, that's perfect.

That's what I was sort of hinting into the fact that, you know we talk about this chief -- but eh, we're sort of rolling this forward and it's going to be, hopefully, a real thing. Good. No, that's good.

And then the other, the question about -- as David mentioned, where we say addressing aging infrastructure such as lead service lines, I like the idea of aging infrastructure. I like mentioning we could say, you know, my suggestion there was more detail.

You know there's aging infrastructure in drinking water and wastewater and stormwater, and there's a significant amount of money under the bipartisan infrastructure law that you know we have a whole team of people working on that.

I think -- I don't know if that's worth

1 adding more detail or just leaving it as aging 2 infrastructure, because there's a lot of aging water infrastructure, not just drinking water. 3 4 MARTIN HEFT: And Lori, I would agree on that. I think 5 just leaving it is addressing aging 6 infrastructure, period, leaves it open --7 LORI MATHIEU: Period. 8 MARTIN HEFT: You know, rather than just -- I mean, I 9 know it's giving an example, but you go, but not 10 limited to, but you don't want to highlight one 11 area when I think there's more of that. 12 LORI MATHIEU: I agree. 13 MARTIN HEFT: And I think as you mentioned with the 14 federal money out there, everything else coming 15 down the pike on infrastructure, that I think it 16 leaves it more broad based, but it's showing that 17 we're addressing it. 18 LORI MATHIEU: Yes. And I don't think we ever talked 19 about lead service lines in this, in this group. 20 I mean, there's obviously a lot of discussion going on about that. There is separate party --21 22 THE CHAIRMAN: There is, but I would agree keeping it 23 like it is, because when we do -- as you know, we 24 have the water infrastructure, or the 25 infrastructure replacement program that we do with all the companies now.

I mean, if we wanted to really expand on things we could put a lot more.

So I think the way it is now is fine.

LORI MATHIEU: Okay. I think that's it. That was everything I had -- I believe.

David, did that catch everything? I think so.

DAVID RADKA: I think that's good. And you guys then obviously are okay with the way we put those in that 2023 and beyond into those buckets, the critical, the priority future and the ongoing?

It was Dan's idea is the way to sort of separate and really highlight the critical -- as more of a way to highlight the critical things.

THE CHAIRMAN: You know, I like that. And I like the way it's the legislature -- and we've said this many times. The legislators get a lot of reports to read. This one is -- you kind of get right to it, and I think you've done a great job putting it in a format where it's easily readable.

So I think that's fine.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: One of the things that David alluded to in the e-mail that when he sent this to you is that Dan and Ali Hibbard put together a wonderful

fact sheet. That fact sheet was based on a prior version of this, where that grouping of those categories that were just mentioning were different at the time.

And so that, the fact sheet has not yet been updated to represent the groupings that are in the current version. Dave and I tried to simplify and clarify some of what was in -- what we had done before. And as I said, Dan hasn't had a chance to -- Dan or Ali haven't had a chance to incorporate that into the fact sheet.

The intent of the fact sheet was to be a one-page, really quick and dirty. The intent of the executive summary was to be two pages -- which Martin just -- for your editorial comment. It did have a different font size because we wanted to keep it to two pages. And we, you know, the rest of the report was in twelve, but it made it more than two pages. And so we just sort of said, whoops. Okay. We're going to do it.

I mean, if we did the whole thing at the smaller font size we'd have to reformat the whole thing -- which we can certainly do if you think it's important, but that's why the font changed.

But you know, basically because we realized

that there are some legislators who will only find the time for the one-page fact sheet. There are some who will find the time for the two pages. There are few who are going to read the whole thing.

But hopefully somebody in one of those two groups, if something specific catches their eye, then they will dig into the body of the report to get more detail on whatever it was that caught their attention. So that will be done.

Another thing that has come up -- because trying to stay to our process, we did run this through both the implementation workgroup and the advisory group. And one of the questions that came up from Margaret was that the emphasis is on drinking water, and the wording in the report is taken right out of the various pieces of legislation. And so those, those are quotes.

What we might do if you, if you the Water

Planning Council would like us to, is try and put
in somewhere else in the report that this is not
only a drinking water plan, but rather water
resources and the environmental aspect of it, and
the broader thing. I think we can address some of
that.

Dave and I have not talked about this yet -so Dave may be surprised to hear me say this, but
we might be able to work that idea in somewhere
else in the plan just to make sure that it's clear
that it's water resources in the broadest sense.

LORI MATHIEU: Well, I think that that, Virginia, is a good point. So maybe there's another sentence that needs to be added to the first paragraph of the executive summary about our charge and the preparation and the updates, but that what we are to do is provide for a balance.

And maybe there's another sentence or two that talks and speaks to the fact that this is inclusive of water supply, blah, and follow along somewhere under -- well, you know it's interesting that 330 talks a lot about water supply. Right?

But the other statute, that's where the statute links are important. Right? The 352, 22a-352 speaks about the 17 items that we're supposed to address.

So maybe there's a summary, a little mini summary that could be provided there about this, about the plan itself -- because you're right. So many people forgot and there's so many new

legislators.

I would ask -- David, you mentioned, I think, Virginia, you mentioned this, too -- the actions on the top of page 4 in particular, the critical actions to achieve successful implementation.

I think the second item, update to the state water plan, I think another piece -- and we've been talking about this, and I think it's really important, and we talked about the drought plan, the WUCC plan, the GC3 work, the PFAS action plan. Right? All these things that have been done since we drafted our plan, that I think it's the reason to update the state water plan.

If somebody asked any one of us during this legislative session, and said, wait a minute. Why do you need all this money? Like, what are you doing? Why would you need to update your plan?

Well, there's so much other -- like it's old now because there's so many other plans that are going on. So maybe adding a third sentence to where it says, update to the state water plan?

It says, issues surrounding water are constantly changing. The plan acknowledges the need for periodic updates. The plan is now five years old and funding is necessary.

We must incorporate the other plans that have existed and drafted ever since this time. So the PFAS plan, the drought plan -- this, the GC3 is very impactful. There's things that we need to address that need to be part of the state water plan.

I think maybe if we could add another sentence to that, that makes sense.

DAVID RADKA: Lori, this is David. I'm sorry -- I
wasn't quite following. Were you reading from the
executive summary? Is that what you were --

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, page 4.

DAVID RADKA: Okay. If you get into the body of it -and I, I totally get what you're saying. We tried
to get a lot more detail on the plan update
there --

LORI MATHIEU: One more sentence about -- because it's going to be important. Right? For the budget we're going to get questioned, why would you update this?

I would put another, one sentence when it says, update to the state water plan, in the executive summary. Because again, they're only going to read -- to Virginia's point, people might only read this. Right? They're not going to go

into page whatever.

They're going to say, what? Why do you need to do this? Well, there's the PFAS action plan. There's the up-to-date drought plan. There's the GC3 work which is significant, and the Governor's report of January 2021. I think it's important to put dates in here to say, look. This was approved at this point. We've had three, four -- five more plans that were passed after this.

So you know in legislative thought, and just if I have to say it to anybody, I think that would be one of the main goals for us, is to hire a planning chief so that we can get this work done so we can update the plan, so that we're consistent with other plans that have been done.

I just like it right there. One more sentence I think would be really good to do.

DAVID RADKA: That's easy to do. Like I said, we already have it in the body of text on page 8.

LORI MATHIEU: Page 8?

DAVID RADKA: Yeah, it's easy to pull out parts from that there, the second paragraph.

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, okay.

DAVID RADKA: An update to the plan will incorporate more current condition data as well as information

not available for development of the initial plan. This will ensure continued implementation efforts and future policy decisions are guided by valid and timely information. Something along those lines is what you're talking about. Right?

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, but specifically mention the drought plan, the PFAS, the Governor's task force PFAS plan; the Governor's GC3 recommendations in the Governor's Plan of January 2021. The fact that our plan is dated -- you know is older than that really makes, to me makes a point.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: I think to Martin's point of a few minutes ago, we should probably keep it very general and say, other plan, related plans or something like that because if we start listing them we are, not only going to forget some, but we're also going to be out of date within another year.

LORI MATHIEU: You can say, such as. And Virginia, I'm sorry to disagree -- but I would disagree.

My point is that it needs to be targeted and people need to know what we're talking about. And so when you're asking for that amount of money that's not insignificant. Right?

And so I would like to be able to take a

1 sentence out of there and rattle off three or four 2 plans that were actually -- we work with, that we 3 actually do a lot of work and give ourselves 4 credit for. 5 So I'm sorry, but I don't like leaving it 6 general when it comes to asking for a 7 significant --8 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So Lori, why don't you get the 9 language you'd like to see before February and 10 shoot it to Virginia and --11 LORI MATHIEU: I will draft it for you. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I know exactly -- particularly I 13 agree -- I see Martin's hand up. I see 14 politically I think it's -- GC3, PFAS, those are 15 front and center right now and I think it will 16 really reinforce that. 17 Martin, you have a question? MARTIN HEFT: No, just two comments to follow up with 18 19 Lori that may help. So in the in the first 20 paragraph of the executive summary where we say, 21 State's drinking water supply, the statute 22 actually mentions management of the water 23 resources of the State. 24 LORI MATHIEU: Bingo. 25 MARTIN HEFT: So if we use the language that is

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

actually in the statute, it covers us. So -- and we say, management of the water resources of the State instead of just State's drinking water, because the plan itself is the management of water resources.

6 LOR

LORI MATHIEU: That's right.

7

5

MARTIN HEFT: I think that will help with that piece.

8

just mentioning on page 2, the priority future

And then the second piece -- as what Lori was

9

actions. The things that are being talked about,

11

the council on climate change, the PFAS are all

12

listed there.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what's missing from that future action plan is an update to the state water plan. It's not listed in that future action plan, and that should be the number one thing in all these sub -- underneath of it.

DAVID RADKA: So that's under the -- up above on page 2, that's the critical. That's the second piece of the critical actions to achieve successful implementation. It's only one sentence.

Maybe we need to --

MARTIN HEFT: Oh, right. Yeah, okay. I mean, I was just -- a better way to tie those together may be on it that the rationale for updating the state

water plan is because of some of these future

actions.

So maybe somehow, Lori, looking at that line

So maybe somehow, Lori, looking at that line that we can tie somehow together, they are -- so yes, it is. They are. I'm just looking at a way to tie it together better.

LORI MATHIEU: I agree.

MARTIN HEFT: I mean, I think that's what Lori's point is.

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, I agree. Because I, again,

people's eyes are going to -- how many of these

reports are they skimming? Right? Legislators,

if they do look at it, they're going to go to this

bulleted point, these points.

MARTIN HEFT: Yeah.

LORI MATHIEU: And they're going to look at that and they're going to say, okay. These are interesting, yes, yes. I think we should add that in there so it ties together.

MARTIN HEFT: I will just say, overall you guys did a terrific job with this. These are just tweaks.

As knowing how legislators read this and how other people read the documents and what they're going to actually look at, these are all just suggested things because we've been through this before.

1 I know all of us here on the Council have 2 been through this before knowing what legislators 3 are actually going to read and what they're going to look at. So knowing the way to present it to 4 5 them so it hits that and they catch those points, 6 because obviously this will be a document as it's 7 going to get used when we go for the budget, and 8 need to support that. 9 This is going to be a document that's going 10 to be brought up in relation to that. 11 LORI MATHIEU: And Martin, you know there's that 12 committee that's ongoing, Connecticut's future and 13 development or development and future --14 MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, which I cochair unbelievably. 15 LORI MATHIEU: That's right -- which you're on, which 16 is significant. Right? 17 MARTIN HEFT: Yes. 18 LORI MATHIEU: So if we have an out-of-date state water 19 plan for water resources, then that -- you know 20 doesn't help the effort. 21 MARTIN HEFT: Right. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Martin wears a lot of hats over at OPM. 23 LORI MATHIEU: And it's an important discussion that's 24 going on. Right?

Okay. So Lori, are you --

25

THE CHAIRMAN:

1	LORI MATHIEU: I'm going to give one little sentence.
2	So I'm going to mention the PFAS plan, the GC3 and
3	the drought plan.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
5	LORI MATHIEU: And I'm going to put dates on those.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
7	LORI MATHIEU: And I'll add it to that last paragraph
8	under update to the state water plan.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: And on the transmittal letter this is
10	very small point, but I would say you put my name
11	is the person to contact on the last line of the
12	letter. Any questions, contact me, the Chairman
13	of the Council at PURA, my number if that's
14	acceptable to everyone?
15	MARTIN HEFT: That was going to be my recommendation.
16	LORI MATHIEU: Sounds good.
17	CHRIS BELLUCCI: Sounds good.
18	VIRGINIA de LIMA: Lori, just a quick question. With
19	your reference to the drought plan and the GC3, et
20	cetera, you want links to those also?
21	Or just mention of them?
22	LORI MATHIEU: Oh, if we could that would be lovely.
23	Right? People actually want to see what they are.
24	Yes, if you can add in links.
25	VIRGINIA de LIMA: Dave, are those in the last, in the

1 appendix, the attachments? 2 No, the draft that was sent to the DAVID RADKA: No. 3 planning council had very few links. We were 4 waiting to have this discussion before Bruce 5 Wittchen volunteered nicely, that he's going to 6 set up links for all the reports since they don't 7 have any currently. And now that we've had this 8 conversation we'll be able to get him the 9 material, and he'll set up links to everything. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. I'd like to 11 entertain a motion that we approve the Water 12 Planning Council report on the status of the 13 implementation of the state water plan dated 14 January 2023 with the revisions provided by Lori 15 and Martin and Graham. 16 I'll entertain a motion. 17 MARTIN HEFT: So moved. 18 CHRIS BELLUCCI: Second. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and seconded. If there are 20 any questions? Are we clear on what we're doing? 21 LORI MATHIEU: Did we address -- we didn't talk about 22 Graham's comment, unless I missed it. 23 Did we address Graham's? 24 DAVID RADKA: It was relatively straightforward, easy 25 to incorporate.

1 LORI MATHIEU: Which was what? Let's just say what it 2 was so that we --3 DAVID RADKA: I'd have to pull it. LORI MATHIEU: Because I haven't looked at that one. 4 5 CHRIS BELLUCCI: Yeah. I talked to him this morning, 6 Lori. It was it was to emphasize that the plan 7 was kind of going back in time. It wasn't just 8 for this year. It was an update since the state 9 water plan was adopted. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Since the inception of the plan. 11 CHRIS BELLUCCI: Since the inception of the plan. Ιt 12 was just one sentence. 13 LORI MATHIEU: Where was that going to be? 14 MARTIN HEFT: Lori, at the end of -- it's on the 15 overview page. It's the paragraph that starts 16 Public Act 14-163. He added a line, this report serves as an update of all actions conducted since 17 18 the plan was approved -- was the sentence he had 19 added. 20 It said, this report serves as an update of 21 all actions conducted since the plan was approved. 22 LORI MATHIEU: The plan was approved when? 23 MARTIN HEFT: 2019. 24 LORI MATHIEU: June? June of 2019? 25 MARTIN HEFT: June 5th of 2019, right.

LORI MATHIEU: Okay.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: I do just want to correct something
I said. I thanked people for putting together the
fact sheet, and I neglected to mention Kim Czapla
who was a key person in that. So I just wanted to
make sure that I credited her as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Virginia.

LORI MATHIEU: So are we certain that this report captures everything since that time, if we're going to say that?

DAVID RADKA: That was the intent. So that's why we created the template that we shout out to every working group chair that had been formed since adoption of the plan, and also to the planning council advisory group to get their take on things that they have also initiatives they have undertaken since that date.

What we did not do -- and we discussed it at the last planning council meeting, was try to parse out any specific individual agency initiatives that are consistent with relying on the plan. It was really not the base of this report to cover those items.

LORI MATHIEU: The only thing I thought about was the work that we've done with Mary Ann Dickinson.

- 1 VIRGINIA de LIMA: That's in there.
- 2 DAVID RADKA: That's in there.
- 3 LORI MATHIEU: And is it adequately covered?
- 4 DAVID RADKA: I think I wrote two very full paragraphs
- 5 about it.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I read that, Lori.
- 7 LORI MATHIEU: Is that good?
- 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I think it's fine.
- I mean, your point is well taken, but you
- don't want to get too far in the weeds with that
- either, so.
- 12 LORI MATHIEU: No -- but that was so well done, and she
- did such a great job. I don't know.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I thought it was captured well.
- 15 LORI MATHIEU: Okay. All right.
- 16 MARTIN HEFT: It is in there.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't to cut off debate until we call
- the vote. Any other comments before we call the
- 19 vote? Martin? Chris?
- 20 | MARTIN HEFT: I'm good.
- 21 CHRIS BELLUCCI: No.
- 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Lori?
- 23 | LORI MATHIEU: All right. Last point and then I'll
- 24 stop.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

LORI MATHIEU: We held two forums, I think, in beautiful Portland -- and they were workshops and Mary Ann was there.

Are those workshops noted, and maybe the agendas for those meetings? Because those were really important workshops and we were making certain points about emphasis of water conservation and water efficiency.

I was just thinking that when I read this that was not one of my takeaways, but that was a significant amount of work.

DAVID RADKA: If I may? I believe I know what you're referencing. I think those were workshops that were not part of the \$50,000 grant that the Water Planning Council had to retain AWE. I think those were things that Mary Ann did working with Dave Kuzminski and others on the sustainability committee, the Connecticut section of AWWA.

So I don't feel that -- we can certainly incorporate it. I don't have enough information about it to be able to draft that, but I don't think it really fits with the overall purpose of this report.

LORI MATHIEU: I thought part of her work was part of that \$50,000. It was definitely related to it.

DAVID RADKA: It was certainly consistent with and they had -- it was, you know, a conservation theme and everything she was retained to do, but it was not part of the grant money.

It wasn't part of that expenditure.

THE CHAIRMAN: I remember because I spoke at that, and I see Dave, Dave Kuzminski is on the call. And I remember, because we had people there from the Institute for Sustainable Energy and it was a different -- you know, the water energy nexus and all that kind of thing.

So I don't know if you want to -- Dave, how do you recall it?

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Jack? I believe that the meeting, I think that was incorporated in part of what Mary Ann was paid for, because I know a lot of her travel expenses were paid by that grant from that standpoint.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

THE CHAIRMAN:

DAVID KUZMINSKI: But you know, your point, the sustainability workshop for anybody that wanted that, that is up on YouTube. I put both of those sessions basically up on YouTube. So for anybody's perusing if they want to go --

I mean, they were very, very good, and

1 very well attended -- if I remember correctly. 2 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Yes, they were. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: And a great venue, too. 4 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Yes, it was. 5 LORI MATHIEU: I just remember it being part of our 6 mission, like this was part of what we were saying 7 we were doing, like this was important for our 8 work and we were emphasizing water efficiency 9 working with Mary Ann. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Denise has raised her hand. Denise? 11 DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah, so the question I have, and the 12 way we've handled other reports is, do we have a 13 link to a summary of Mary Ann's? Or did she give 14 us a final report out on the work that she did? 15 Or is there a way that we can link to all of her 16 action? 17 I think that that would be the way to handle 18 this. It's the way we're handling all the other 19 detailed reports. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I think that's a great idea. I think we 21 do. I'm sure we have some kind of final document 22 from her. 23 LORI MATHIEU: I like that idea, Denise. 24 DAVID RADKA: We have a number of documents from her, 25 but no final report and that's why on the appendix

1 B we left that with question marks following it to 2 see what people might recommend as far as creating 3 links. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Right. They're here. AWE links 5 to -- yeah. 6 LORI MATHIEU: So maybe we put a link to the YouTube 7 and a final report? 8 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Well, I think I have her 9 presentations. I can probably supply links to the 10 presentation, because I know she gave a link. 11 Vicky from Massachusetts also was there. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: That would be great. 13 LORI MATHIEU: That's right. Yeah. 14 VIRGINIA de LIMA: This opens a whole other issue, and 15 that at the beginning of this process -- and I 16 believe we discussed it with you, we made a 17 conscious decision to limit it to activities related to the implementation of the state water 18 19 plan and not all things water. 20 Now, Dave was instrumental. David Kuzminski 21 was instrumental in orchestrating with Mary Ann. 22 It was separate from what she did as part of the 23 state water plan activities with that \$50,000. 24 That would lead me to say, well, why aren't we 25 doing the same thing with all the other

water-related meetings that have happened in the state agencies and nonprofits and everything else throughout the state, which obviously very quickly becomes unmanageable?

So I think if we stick with this is water plan only, then having linked to what was done that -- that we're referring now would not be appropriate.

MARTIN HEFT: So just -- as I may? So Virginia, on that note is our statutory reporting is on the development and implementation of the state water plan and any updates, period. I mean, that is what we're supposed to be reporting on in this report, which I think you've captured in your draft that you've provided to us.

LORI MATHIEU: My thought is the work with the Alliance was very well done. It captured items in the state water plan, to Martin's point, that we needed to implement. And we've always talked about conservation being one of the most important items that we could work on, especially water efficiency.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think we just put it to David to get those links, the AWE links to page 14 that's part of his appendix B. Because we have other reports

1 and there are green energy projects, final draft, 2 WPCAG. And so I think that would be okay. 3 MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, and we have the Alliance for Water 4 Efficiency in the reporting. So it can just be, 5 you know, it's the appendix to those. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. 7 MARTIN HEFT: To that paragraph, or a couple of 8 paragraphs we add in there. 9 DAVID RADKA: And Lori, just to confirm I did pull up 10 the actual time and expense summary that was 11 provided to us upon conclusion of their work and 12 it does not include the works that you're 13 referring to. 14 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Not to harbor -- or keep beating a 15 dead horse, or whatever the thing is, but the 16 Rivers Alliance has done periodic workshops. CWWA 17 Does workshops. There are a whole lot of 18 workshops that overlap a lot with the objectives 19 of the state water plan. 20 LORI MATHIEU: Again, from my point of view they were 21 impactful. They're important and they further our 22 work. And specifically myself, Jack, a bunch of 23 us presented, not just once but twice. So I just 24 think it's important. 25 Yeah -- and I think we should. THE CHAIRMAN:

1 this is something that hopefully we're going to 2 get some type of legislation approved that's part 3 of this whole background --4 LORI MATHIEU: That's my point. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. So I think it should be included. 6 Martin, you okay with that? 7 Chris? 8 CHRIS BELLUCCI: Yeah, certainly. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other --10 DAVID RADKA: Just may I confirm? 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 12 DAVID RADKA: Dave Kuzminski will provide links to the 13 pertinent materials? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: And slides as well. Okay? 15 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Okay. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dave. 17 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Do you want links to the YouTube? or18 Mary Ann's presentations as well? 19 THE CHAIRMAN: I think both. 20 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Okay. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: If you can do it, please. 22 DAVID RADKA: I apologize. I've lost track of whether 23 or not you voted on the motion. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: We haven't voted yet. We're going to 25 vote right now --

```
1
    LORI MATHIEU: It's still under discussion.
2
    DAVID RADKA: I'll hold off. I'll hold off until you
3
         vote and then I'll ask a final question.
4
    THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other discussion before we
5
         vote? Lori?
6
    LORI MATHIEU: One question. Chair, do we need to
7
         change the motion in any way?
8
    THE CHAIRMAN: No, I don't think we do.
9
    LORI MATHIEU: Okay.
10
    THE CHAIRMAN: I'll ask Martin. I'll ask our
11
         resident parliament -- Martin says no?
12
    MARTIN HEFT: No, because we made the motions with the
13
         corrections and additions that we've been
14
         discussing here.
15
    THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Chris, you all set?
16
              Ready to vote?
17
    CHRIS BELLUCCI: Yes.
18
    THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'm going to call the question.
19
         All those in favor of transmittal of the January
20
         2023 report on the status of the implementation of
21
         the state water plan to committees of cognizance
22
         at the General Assembly, say aye.
23
    THE COUNCIL: Aye.
24
    THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
25
```

1 (No response.) 2 3 THE CHAIRMAN: The ayes have it. Congratulations. 4 Thank you very much again to the workgroup that 5 put this together and did just a dynamite job. 6 David, question -- David Radka? 7 DAVID RADKA: Right. So it's actually more procedural 8 now since you've approved that. So we've got the 9 various comments. We've got information. Lori is 10 going to make some suggested wording changes. 11 Dave Kuzminski is going to provide things. We're 12 going to need the fact sheet updated. I think we 13 have a good sense of where this is headed. 14 How do you want to manage that, and what's 15 the timeframe? Do you want us to -- I'm happy to 16 rework the document, send it back to you four 17 again, but do you need to -- how do you want to actually sign off on it? 18 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Well --20 DAVID RADKA: Do you want one shot at this? Or do you 21 want to have potential iterations? 22 THE CHAIRMAN: No, I think -- unless my colleagues 23 disagree, I think it's ready to go. I think that 24 with those revisions, I don't know if we want to 25 do hard signatures to it or just go the way it has

1 it. 2 And then it may be myself or Martin, and we 3 can then have our legislative people get it over. 4 LORI MATHIEU: Can we see a final document before it's 5 sent? 6 DAVID RADKA: Well, I was thinking we create a new 7 draft with a date on it, and then, you know, leave 8 it in your hands to remove the draft and put the 9 actual date on the letter of transmittal. And 10 (unintelligible) --11 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, I think that would be the best way. 12 13 Thanks, David. I think with the revisions that 14 we've talked about, Lori, getting you the, you 15 know, the language that she needs to on that, send 16 us kind of the final draft. We can do that. 17 And then, Jack, we can decide if we're going 18 to use electronic signatures or whatever we're 19 going to do on the transmittal of the letter. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Once we get the final 21 document back, Martin you and I can discuss how 22 we're going to get it over there. 23 All right. Any further discussion? 24 25 (No response.)

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Great work. Thank you all again. 2 we're going to move on with the agenda to 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

implementation workgroup.

Virginia, do you have anything else? You've been busy doing the --

VIRGINIA de LIMA: That, that report clearly has taken a lot of our energies. In addition to that, as you are aware, the ongoing topical sub workgroups are the USGS data collection, data networks analysis and also the education and outreach.

Chris, is there anything that you want to share with the Councilors?

(Unintelligible) -- you're going to be talking to yourself amongst others --

CHRIS BELLUCCI: Yeah, I can -- I can update quickly. We had our second meeting in December. really well. We got a lot of really good feedback that we took notes on, on how the folks are using the gauge and the data and initial gap analysis kind of questions.

And we plan to -- we have a Doodle poll out and are in the process of scheduling our January meeting right now where we plan to take a deeper dive into the topic areas. So we're moving along very well.

25

And

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. 2 VIRGINIA de LIMA: And Denise, are there things that 3 you want to say about the education outreach 4 group? We're familiar with the themes that you 5 planned for 2023, but you can give us some of 6 their updates. 7 DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah. Actually they have it on the 8 agenda just a little bit further down. So I don't 9 know --10 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Oh, okay. Okay. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Martin, we're all set with the drought 12 workgroup? 13 MARTIN HEFT: Just very quickly. We do have a meeting 14 this Thursday. At our last meeting which was on 15 December 8th we did still leave four counties as 16 stage one, Fairfield, New Haven, Lichfield, and 17 Hartford, due to our reservoir levels and public water suppliers that were still in drought stages. 18 19 So we will be reviewing that this Thursday 20 and then continuing on with our other work. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Thank you. 22 Denise? 23 DENISE SAVAGEAU: So the education and outreach 24 workgroup met today and we had sent I think in 25 November our work plan for 2023, which you guys

tentatively accepted. We asked you if there was any comments.

The only comments I got back were from

Martin. He happened to be not at the meeting

where we presented. And just wanted to make sure

that when we were talking about drought materials

that we -- and working with UConn Clear and DEEP

education, that we were obviously working with the

Department of Public Health and whatever.

So we did just clarify that by putting in that we're going to be working with the entire Water Planning Council, you know, agencies as well as these new partners.

So just quickly, it's been a while since probably people looked at it. The work plan includes, we're going to be planning for some spring workshops focusing on climate change. And the first workshop is going to be looking at that quantity, flashy issues we have where at one minute we're in a drought, and the next minute we're having flooding conditions and then following up with that.

Now how does that impact source water? You know, what's the water quality aspects? What's the water demand aspects? So kind of talking

about that in the fish-to-faucet theme. So those will be our workshops in the spring.

And we're going to be working with the Connecticut Envirothon program. Their program this year is focused on climate change, and I think that that's going to be a really good fit for us in terms of education and outreach.

One of the members on the outreach and education committee is also with the Connecticut Envirothon program. And Sue Quincy, who works for DEEP who we're working with on climate change also is actually running their program, their section of that program. So there's a lot of connection there.

Again, we talked about the second thing we were going to be working on is the drought materials that was brought up. And we talked about working with CLEAR. Mike Dietz at our meeting today agreed to be our liaison with CIRCA and CLEAR at UConn. And they're looking at developing fact sheets on drought, specifically those ones like -- well, what do we expect climate change, you know, what's the exact science that we're expecting?

And of course, we don't really know what the

exact science is going to be, but what is the science behind what we're focusing on so that we will have fact sheets on that? They have fact sheets already on their website on sea level rise. They have a fact sheet on temperature, and they realized they didn't have one on drought. So they agreed that they were going to do that.

And then again, working with Sue Quincy on climate education materials that's mandated, and she realized that the materials that DEP has already put together also didn't have information on drought. So we'll be looking at doing that and really tying that also in, and as Dan always reminds us, that there's a public health issue around this too as we're talking about climate change and these water resource issues.

It says to give you an update on the website review. I know that David -- and as part of the implementation work group sent you our assessment of that, of the website. And it's a top-level review that was led by Ali Hibbert, and looking at that we needed to do two things with the website. One is to make sure -- there's a lot of links that are broken. So how to do that high level cleanup of the website, but also look at what the audience

is.

Is this audience just for people who are attending Water Planning Council meetings? Or do we also want it accessible to the general public, to the Legislature, for example, and the things we're talking about? And how do we look at the website that way?

There's been some discussion on, you know like, well, do we wait? Do we wait until we have a water planning chief? Who's going to be in charge of this? But the website is here and now, and we were, you know, when we're talking about, for example, all the links, even to the annual report we need to continue to look at the website.

So look at those recommendations. Again there they're high level, but it is something we need to think about and probably act on a little bit closer who -- besides Bruce who's doing a great job, but we really need to decide who's in charge of the website. How do we get stuff on it, and what needs to be on it? So there really needs to be a real planning effort around that website.

We went into the logo and Ali -- as you know, though, Ali Hibbert has been leading that. And as you know the logo is approved by you as well as by

the Secretary of State. And so Ali is working on how then we integrate those materials.

Obviously, they've been provided for the annual report, but we're looking at how to make those materials available. So that will be forthcoming out of DEEP.

And then the last thing for our work is outreach and jobs. And we kind of talked about that and we had a big discussion on it today at our meeting, and that had to do with how do we talk about state water resources?

We know that every agency, the Department of Public Health, DEEP, everyone is looking at bringing folks into the pipeline in terms of hiring staff, but how do we make sure people even know that there's jobs in water resource management and all of the work that we do, and that bigger issue of environmental and working in natural lands and land use planning, and making sure that there's people who are considering these jobs?

Interestingly enough, this was picked up by
Kelsey and the Envirothon, Connecticut Envirothon,
which is a high school -- a program for high
school students. And they are going to be looking

at what materials can they develop that will focus on that. So we'll probably be talking with them, again talking with Sue Quincy at Connecticut DEEP, but looking at how this fits into what we're doing with the state water plan.

Because we can do all the planning we want, but if we don't have people to hire and whatever -- so we're looking that we really need to educate people about the state water plan, but also the potential for jobs in terms of water resources and actually diversifying our workforce.

So that's the plan that we have in place.

And again, we said we talked about the website and
I reviewed that during the plan. If anybody has
any further questions, I'm more than happy to
answer them.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Hi, Denise. Dave Kuzminski here. I put links for the workshops in the chat. I also put links -- I don't know if you're aware of I've also implemented a careers podcast basically that I've been distributing out to all of the high school guidance counselors and so forth. And I put the link for that.

But I've had basically -- we're into the last episode. We have 40 episodes up on the web right

now. And Denise, obviously we've had. Lori has been on. I've also had the Commissioner Manisha Juthani on as far as that. Betsy Gara, and you can, you know, go down the list -- but basically just highlighting careers.

The title of the podcast is basically the future of the water and wastewater industry and careers you didn't know about.

So basically I've been covering everything, you know, from wastewater to conservation to engineers, to lobbyists to, you know, meter readers, the whole nine yards, HR people and also both on the water and wastewater side -- so the more that we can get that out of there.

You know I've been a big proponent of succession planning for many years with my high school water and people class and so forth. So it's something that we definitely need to do and keep promoting.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: All right. Thanks. That sounds wonderful. So exactly what we need. And I keep thinking that we need to have you on the outreach and education committee.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: I'd be happy to.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: And we need to coordinate with AWWA

on that, and Lori. So (unintelligible) -DAVID KUZMINSKI: Well, AWWA has picked up the podcast
nationally. Okay? As far as that goes.

And you know, just to shout out to everybody that's on, on the meeting. If you want to be on the podcast, it's basically -- they're about 20 minutes, 25 minutes and so forth.

But basically it's a little bit about, okay. Tell me what your day job is about. Yeah, so anybody who wants to be on, hit me up and I'd be more than happy to get you on. I record. I have my podcast studio. Basically it's in my music store right here in Portland. You're more than welcome to come down.

And we can get you up and I'll get you out on the web.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: And if I could just ask that the links that he put on there be shared. It's not as easy to -- usually there's a little three dots that you can click on and download the shares, but you can't right now, so.

And not that I can't click on them -although for anybody else, just make sure that
those get shared out as part of the minutes. That
would be helpful. Thank you.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: And I will dig up the presentations, the slide decks from the sustainability conference as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MARTIN HEFT: So Jack, just one thing to follow up on that. And thank you, Denise, for that and all the work regarding the website and everything.

Just as a couple of thoughts on that is -- as we know, Bruce has done a lot with the website and everything else. And when we had to convert over to the new website design and actually hear that there might be another one coming -- but I'm not positive on that -- that we do have to follow certain guidelines and setup and everything, because it is a state website. It's supposed to follow certain formats, everything.

So we do have some limitations, but we do also have some flexibility -- so obviously, want to be able to work with whatever to make sure it's advantageous for everybody.

A couple of things. As you mentioned if we should get funding in the new budget, obviously that could be something that someone could be worked on, assigned to that.

Also one of my other thoughts is looking at

an intern from one of our state agencies that might be able to kind of revamp the website, if you will. And kind of giving them a core task of doing in that sense as well. So just a couple of things to keep in mind, but thank you for the work on this.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Thanks, Martin. And I think the annual report and the discussion we had with all the links that were needed for the annual report just reinforces the work.

And again, thank you to Ali Hibbert and the folks that she worked with who kind of conducted this review of the website. Because I think it really is important and what we have found from the outreach and education committee is anytime we try to do something, if the website isn't functioning we are -- it limits what we can do.

And it's not a good reflection on, not just our work, but the work of the Water Planning Council. And like I said, just looking at the annual report and we're saying, what's the best way to make the report short and sweet, but get it to the Legislature, it's to have links.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: And we need to make sure those links

1 are up and functioning and work. So thank you. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Okay. Very good. Thank you 3 very much, Denise. 4 LORI MATHIEU: Could I put one plug in to Denise and 5 her group for the year '24? I know it's a year 6 from now, but things have to be planned. 7 The Safe Drinking Water Act was passed. 8 know their 50th anniversary is the year '24. So 9 if we can start maybe a little bit of planning, I 10 don't know, you know, something we should think 11 about. DENISE SAVAGEAU: We will definitely -- we will, you 12 13 know, we're always looking at a theme. We usually 14 start in September for the next year thinking 15 about the theme. 16 So we will definitely put that in our records and have that on our plate. 17 18 LORI MATHIEU: Awesome. That would be my suggestion. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent idea. 20 DENISE SAVAGEAU: Got that down, Karen? I can see 21 you're looking at me. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. 23 LORI MATHIEU: Beautiful. Thank you. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Moving on to the Water Planning 25 advisory group. Alecia, Dan?

DAN LAWRENCE: I think Alicia is here. She's been on and off a couple of times. Alicia, are you there?

(No response.)

DAN LAWRENCE: Okay. She had all the notes, but I can get through this -- I think.

So we had a meeting on the 20th of December.

Actually surprisingly good attendance for a
meeting as late as that in the year. We had a
couple conversations, I just need to pull some
notes because I thought Alecia was on -- so give
me two seconds.

And we have -- hopefully Carol is here to talk about it. I know Karen, I see you -- and talk about the lands group.

We had a few conversations around -- well, again the watershed land group, the nominating committee and the annual report summaries. A pretty general meeting -- and there was a number of things.

Karen, do you want to talk about the
watershed land group that you're on?

KAREN BURNASKA: Sure. You know, just quickly we gave
an update of the meeting, which I believe I had

spoken at the last Water Planning Council meeting on how our December 9th watershed lands workgroup meeting went.

And just as a follow up to that, just to let the Water Planning Council know that we are continuing to review the transfer of -- the state agency transfer of surplus land, and we hope at the next watershed lands workgroup meeting to invite some agency staff, so they could -- the DEEP, DOT, so they could explain to us the process, the review process that they use to evaluate land that they are going to recommend for transfer.

And also we'd like to get some information from them on how we can work on how these land transfers and how they can better reflect the State's priorities and principles in the preservation of open space -- one.

And the second thing, that just to mention that if you're not -- I guess you have to be in -- I know you have to be in Hartford on Thursday morning at 9 a.m., but Thursday morning at 9 a.m. is the DPH hearing on the MDC's request for a declaratory ruling on the Colebrook Reservoir.

It is an in-person only. You cannot call in.

1 There's no Zoom link that we've been made aware 2 of. Only the parties in the case can participate. 3 And as of, I believe it was December 13th, the MDC 4 did issue a request for a change in the 5 declaratory ruling statement. So you know that's 6 something that we will continue to follow. 7 And I do see that Margaret is on. I didn't 8 know -- I know she was traveling. I don't know if 9 you're in the car, Margaret. I don't know if you 10 can talk or -- and if not, we'll just let it go at 11 that -- but I see you. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: And Alicia just texted. She's somewhere 13 in Texas. 14 DAN LAWRENCE: Yeah, she's in Texas with a family 15 situation. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: And her hotspot is not working. ALICEA CHARAMUT: Yeah. The wind is blowing and I keep 17 18 losing my signal. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, there she is. 20 KAREN BURNASKA: I'll let you go, Alecia, because I 21 don't think Margaret can get on either. 22 DAN LAWRENCE: Okay. Well, just a couple other odds 23 and ends. I don't know if Carol is on, on the nominating committee, but I didn't see her on. 24

Unless -- oh, there you are.

25

1 CAROL HASKINS: I'm here. DAN LAWRENCE: All right. Carol, you want to give us a 2 3 quick update on the nominating committee? 4 CAROL HASKINS: Sure. We have a recruit for the 5 agricultural representative, thanks to some 6 outreach by Aaron. And we'll be bringing that 7 name forward to the advisory group this month, and 8 be ready to pass that up to the Council for 9 approval in February. 10 DAN LAWRENCE: Thanks, Carol. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent news. 12 DAN LAWRENCE: It's hard to get it all full, but Carol 13 is doing a good job on the team. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Carol. 15 DAN LAWRENCE: So that's the update there. I guess the 16 bigger is we want to thank Virginia for all of her 17 work on the Water Planning Council advisory group. 18 She had her last meeting on the 20th. We said 19 thank you then, but we'll say thank you here as 20 well for all your years of service. 21 So thanks again, Virginia. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll keep her busy. 23 DAN LAWRENCE: Yeah, she seems awfully busy. Alecia 24 and Dave, I'm not going to retire -- if you guys 25 are going to do that in your retirement, so.

And then there was a few comments at our last meeting just on different events mostly from

Denise; the 2023 environmental summit to be held

by the Connecticut League of Conservation Voters

and an upcoming presentation on climate justice in

Connecticut down in Greenwich. And then Carol

Haskins forgot to mention the Institute for

American Indian Studies in Washington has a

temporary exhibit on water is life.

So just some interesting things going on out there in the world that I thought you might be interested in. So I believe that's all we have -- unless Alicia can get any more I think from Texas, but --

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dan.

Alecia?

ALICEA CHARAMUT: It sounds like you covered everything. Thanks, Dan.

DAN LAWRENCE: Yeah.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you both very much. That

concludes our regular agenda items. The only
other business -- our next meeting is February

2nd, but I would like to bring up to my colleagues
on the Council that Maureen Westbrook, as you all
know, retired December 31st. I believe it was her

1 last day. 2 And I would like to recognize her for her 3 years of service and the dedication to the water 4 She's very passionate about the business. world. 5 She was polite to work with, and I'd like to at 6 our next -- I'm going to see if we can have an 7 in-person meeting here in February. 8 I'll check with our Chairman. I think we 9 have in-person Aquarion -- as Dan knows, we had an 10 in-person Aquarion water rate hearing. So I think 11 we'll probably do it -- I thought maybe 12 (unintelligible) -- and I'd like to present her 13 with recognition on behalf of the Council. 14 And Martin said he would help get a 15 proclamation for us from his office. 16 MARTIN HEFT: Yes. Just get me the details. 17 LORI MATHIEU: And I was supposed to get a blurb. Ι 18 think I was supposed to get a blurb from people, 19 which I did not -- so. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: And there's a retirement dinner for her 21 next -- a week from tomorrow. 22 Lori, are you aware of that? I think so. 23 LORI MATHIEU: 24 Okay. So are the Councilmembers in THE CHAIRMAN:

agreement with that, that we can recognize her?

25

1	LORI MATHIEU: Jack, would it be in-person? It would					
2	also be hybrid, I would imagine?					
3	THE CHAIRMAN: I think we would probably do both, yes.					
4	LORI MATHIEU: Okay. Yeah.					
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Martin, do we need a motion for					
6	that? To present, to recognize her?					
7	MARTIN HEFT: If you want to make it official, I'll					
8	make a motion.					
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Make a motion and a second, Lori?					
10	LORI MATHIEU: Yes.					
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and seconded that we					
12	recognize Maureen Westbrook for her years of					
13	dedication and service to the water industry at					
14	our next meeting. Any questions?					
15	LORI MATHIEU: One thing I would add is dedication to					
16	the water industry, but dedication to the Water					
17	Planning Council. Right?					
18	THE CHAIRMAN: And I'm embarrassed. What did we call					
19	what we gave Margaret? Margaret was our first					
20	recipient.					
21	Was it the water drop award? What was it?					
22	Was it the water drop?					
23	A little trivia here.					
24	LORI MATHIEU: What did we call it?					
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Was it the water drop award? Karen, do					

1 you remember? 2 KAREN BURNASKA: I don't think it was, but it was like the water --3 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Water something. 5 KAREN BURNASKA: Yes. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I've got to go back. I'll --7 LORI MATHIEU: We have to look at that, yeah. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll figure it out. I'll check. I'11 9 work with Alecia to get it, because that's what we 10 want to give her, whatever that was. 11 Lori, do you have something else? 12 LORI MATHIEU: No, I'm just saying that for her, for 13 Maureen's time, she was with Water Planning 14 Council for a long time. 15 She was cochair. THE CHAIRMAN: 16 LORI MATHIEU: The cochair for -- I don't know how many 17 years. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Right at the beginning, a lot of years. 19 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, at the very beginning. Yeah. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Richard Hanratty has a question. 21 RICHARD HANRATTY, JR.: Yeah. Hi, everybody. Rich 22 Hanratty, Connecticut Water Company. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Oh. Hi, Rich. 24 RICHARD HANRATTY, JR.: How you doing? If anyone needs 25 anything Maureen related, she obviously doesn't

1	work for Connecticut Water anymore.
2	But I did put together a blurb with some
3	biographical info.
4	LORI MATHIEU: Okay.
5	RICHARD HANRATTY, JR.: Just contact me, e-mail me
6	Richard.Hanratty@CTWater.com. And if anyone needs
7	anything I'll be happy to supply it.
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, that's great, Rich.
9	RICHARD HANRATTY, JR.: Thank you.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Martin, did we vote on the motion?
11	LORI MATHIEU: Rich, send that to Jack and I, please?
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Martin, did we vote on my motion?
13	MARTIN HEFT: Not yet.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Rich.
15	All those in favor of voting on the
16	to-be-determined award from the Water Planning
17	Council, signify by saying, aye?
18	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
20	
21	(No response.)
22	
23	THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carried.
24	Okay, any other public comment before we
25	adjourn?

1 (No response.) 2 3 THE CHAIRMAN: If not, I thank you all. 4 This was a very productive meeting for the 5 first meeting of the year. And again, hats off to 6 those that worked on making the annual report a 7 reality on time, to my colleagues for getting 8 their comments in on time. 9 And I wish everybody a great first month of 10 the year. 11 And with that I will entertain a motion to 12 adjourn? 13 MARTIN HEFT: So moved. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Second? 15 LORI MATHIEU: Second. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor signify by saying, 17 aye. 18 THE COUNCIL: Aye. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, everybody. 20 MARTIN HEFT: Thank you. 21 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you. Happy New Year. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Happy New Year. 23 KAREN BURNASKA: Happy new year. 24 25 (End: 2:55 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 73 pages are a

complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Regular Meeting of the Water Planning Council, which was held before

VICE-CHAIRMAN, via teleconference, on January 3, 2023.

JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M #857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025

1	INDEX					
2	VOTES TAKEN					
	(Unanimous Approval)					
3	DESC	CRIPTION	(O.1)	PAGE		
4	12/2/'22 Transcript approval 3 Transmit 1/'23 Status Report with Revisions 49					
5	M. W	72 73				
6	Adje	our innerie		75		
7	DESC	RIPTION	TOPICS OF DISCUSSION	PAGE(s)		
8	_	Mathieu:	WUCC 2/15/'23 meeting, priorities Private wells, confidentiality	4-6 7		
9			12/8 PFAS meeting	8-11		
10	M.	Heft:	Drought workgroups	12-14		
11	D.	Radka:	Draft report, template, lead lines Council Discussion	15-18 18-24		
12	v.	de Lima:	Fact sheet, summary, font change report wording	24-26 26-27		
13	D	Kuzminski:	Council Discussion	27-44		
14	D.	RUZIIIIIBRI .	. Sustainability workshop on YouTube	42		
15	v.	de Lima:	Limited scope of plan Council Discussion	44-45 45-49		
16	D.	Radka:	Sign-off procedure	49-50		
17	C.	Bellucci:	December meeting	51		
18	M.	Heft:	4 counties at stage 1	52		
19	D.	Savageau:	Ed and outreach, update website Hiring	52-56 57-58		
20	D.	Kuzminski		58-60		
21			Council Discussion	61-62		
22	L.	Mathieu:	50-Year anniversary SDWA	62		
23			WPCAG Dec. meeting Watershed lands group	63-64 64-66		
			Nominating committee	67		
24			WPCAG (Cont'd)	68		
25	The	e Chair:	Champion of Water (M. Westbrook)	68-72		