CERTIFIED COPY STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL Transcript of an Annotated Digital recording of the Regular Meeting held via teleconference on March 7, 2023, beginning at 1:30 p.m. Held Before: JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, WPC CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN 

1	Appearances:
2	WATER PLANNING COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN (PURA)
4	MARTIN HEFT (OPM)
5	LORI MATHIEU (DPH)
6	GRAHAM STEVENS (DEEP)
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT (on record):
9	DENISE SAVAGEAU
10	KAREN BURNASKA
11	ALICEA CHARAMUT
12	CAROL HASKINS
13	MARGARET MINER
14	
15	Staff:
16	LAURA LUPOLI
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Begin: 1:30 p.m.)
2	
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, everyone. I believe we
4	have everyone on. Welcome to the March 7, 2023,
5	Water Planning Council meeting. We'll call the
6	meeting to order.
7	The first order of business will be approval
8	of the February 7, 2023 transcript.
9	Do I have a motion?
10	LORI MATHIEU: So moved.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: So moved. Second?
12	MARTIN HEFT: Second.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Second by Martin.
14	Any questions on the motion?
15	
16	(No response.)
17	
18	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by
19	saying aye.
20	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
22	
23	(No response.)
24	
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Transcript approved.

1 Any public comment on agenda items? 2 Margaret, do you? 3 MARGARET MINER: No. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 5 MARGARET MINER: Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. If there's no public comment 7 on agenda items, we'll move on to correspondence 8 received. 9 You should all have received a note from 10 Virginia de Lima dated a week ago, February 28th. 11 And as you know, she's saying that -- well, she's 12 not going to be at this meeting, nor is Dave. 13 They're both traveling. 14 But we have, at least through this day, we do 15 have Chris and Denise and Dan here to ask any 16 questions we might have. 17 But she has indicated that she stepped down 18 from the Water Planning Council advisory group 19 effective the first of the year. And she still 20 realized that that means that she's one of the 21 representatives on the (unintelligible) workgroup. 22 She's willing to continue until it appoints a 23 new representative. 24 I just want to -- frankly, I think Virginia 25 has been invaluable on the IWG and I would like to

see her continue.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Is there a question before us, Jack, as far as can she continue until --

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I think we could do it, honestly.

I know we have our resident parliamentarian and

legal and legislative expert, Mr. Heft here.

But I think we can do anything we want to do because the implementation workgroup is not statutorily organized. So I think we can put any -- frankly, I think we can put anybody we want on the implementation workgroup.

That's my opinion.

MARTIN HEFT: I would agree with you, Jack, that the

Water Planning Council advisory group is

statutory. The IWG is kind of a subgroup, same as
the topical subgroups that are done that way.

So unless there was something in the Council advisory group's guidelines or something that says that they had to be a member of something, there would be no issue. Correct?

LORI MATHIEU: I tend to recall that we did have some structure to the implementation group, and that we may want to look at that structure that we developed when we put this group together so that we can just be -- you know to Martin's point, just

be true to what we've said in the past.

MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, I don't know if anyone has. I

mean, that was prior, prior to me coming on board.

So I don't know what -- as I've said, I don't know

if there's a document there that establishes the

IWG -- but of what the membership is or anything

else, just as a verification.

I mean, I personally don't have a problem with conceptually the whole thing, but want to make sure that we, if there is something in line, that we are following it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, when it was set up, it was set up and we had representatives from all the agencies.

We had appointees from the Water Planning
Council advisory group, and then we had
representation from in-stream advocates and
out-of-stream advocates -- and that's basically
how it was, how it was set up. Those are the
areas of membership on the Council.

Again, it's not -- to Martin's point, I think that we have some latitude with this that we can -- if we want to put somebody else on the group, we can do it -- I think.

Oh, Denise, I'm sorry.

Denise is raising her hand.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah, so the implementation workgroup as well as the Water Planning Council advisory group is looking at this and looking to see if there's some ways to maybe switch folks around, so -- and you know my name has come up in terms of right now I represent in-stream, but maybe I would represent the Water Planning Council advisory group.

And you know, Virginia could do in-stream -- although she wants to be neutral, so.

But we're looking at what the structure is.

And the one thing I would say is I do think you have flexibility. Lori is right there; we did adopt something and it may be, you want to look at it and say, do we want -- I don't know if we have members at large.

And I think you're on a group like this, and Virginia kind of falls into that category of maybe you want to expand that list.

So I will make sure I report back, but just to let you know that both the implementation workgroup and the Water Planning Council advisory group are looking at this, and we'll probably get back to you with some type of recommendation.

But there, there was a structure -- but it's

1 an internal structure to you. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. 3 DENISE SAVAGEAU: It's not, like you said, legislation. 4 And I think we should follow that structure 5 because you adopted it -- but that doesn't mean it 6 can't be amended. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Denise, that's very helpful. 8 So let's wait. Let's hold on this until next 9 month so to give you an opportunity to do your 10 research and make a recommendation, and then we 11 can look, do a little research ourself. 12 And then we can -- in the meantime, I think 13 Virginia can say right where she is for now. 14 Is that okay with everyone? 15 LORI MATHIEU: Yes. 16 MARTIN HEFT: 17 GRAHAM STEVENS: Yes. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Graham -- good. 19 Thank you all very much. 20 Okay. Let's move on. 21 No other correspondence. We'll move on to H, 22 Reports, WUCC and Private Wells. Lori? 23 LORI MATHIEU: So for the WUCC, I'm looking to see if 24 my colleagues from DPH are on, either Eric McPhee 25 or Lisette.

I don't see either one, and I did not get an update from them. So we'll move on to private wells.

For private wells, we continue on -- as I reported last month, we continue to work the public act that passed last session and we're receiving information via e-mail and developing a data system, hopefully fairly soon, to be able to capture information that's coming our way based upon water quality information and the way the law was written last session.

And then also combined with that is moving forward with hopefully -- we've had to step back on the hiring of an individual. We just didn't get a lot of applicants for the epidemiology position. So we're going to change that and post it for an environmental analyst position.

So that's where we are with private wells at this point. And again, if I see anything from anyone on the WUCC, I will let you know -- but I haven't seen an update.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori.

Any questions for Lori?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Now we are going to move on to item number six, discussion of budget. And we will have Martin Heft give us a little bit of a report on that.

MARTIN HEFT: Sure.

THE CHAIRMAN: Which is not great news.

MARTIN HEFT: So just -- yeah, a quick update. As we know, this body of Water Planning Council -- sorry, my screen is a little dark there, apologies -- that we submitted a two-year budget proposal.

Unfortunately, it did not make it into the Governor's budget plan. So at this point there is no funding for the Water Planning Council for anything in the Governor's proposed budget at this point.

So there's a couple of options that could happen at this time. Obviously, it could be discussed and negotiated in through leadership and through all budget negotiations for adding into the budget proposal.

As we know, the budget appropriations is meeting now. Appropriations will present their budget. Then there becomes the back and forth between Governor's office, OPM, Appropriation,

leadership, and trying to come up with a final budget. So there is a possibility that something could be added back in there.

Another option is to put the budget back in during the midterm, which means another year out for that. Obviously, we were not looking at bonding funds because we are looking at hiring staff, everything else for this process. So we weren't looking at just bond funds. That's the way the state water plan was funded previously.

Obviously, that's an option, but that's only going to give us the capability to do the water plan. It's not going to allow us to hire the water chief position and all those types of items that way.

So that's kind of the update that I have for you on the budget and potential options.

THE CHAIRMAN: So it's not out of the question yet,

Martin, that we might be able to get some kind of

funds this year?

MARTIN HEFT: Well, I guess maybe from the Governor's side, because it's not in his budget. Not to say that they can't negotiate and add something in at some point, but it didn't make it to the Governor's budget. So I'm not sure if the

Governor's side would pop it back in with a change.

It could be something that if talking with Appropriations, talking with one of the committees, the Public Health Committee, something to see is there some way that something could happen in that sense. You know it's talking with obviously the people that are in the finance, revenue, bonding/appropriation sides of the budget committees as a potential would be really the way of looking at trying to get something into this year's budget.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So should we -- I guess, moving forward, how do you think we can? Who should we have talk on this, Martin, in terms of keeping it?

You've kind of been our unofficial spokesperson.

MARTIN HEFT: Well, only behind the scenes, because OPM prepares the budget. So I have to obviously walk the fine line, if you will -- which is, as we know, the budget was originally presented, to be presented under PURA, but you know not from regulatory funds, but from regular funds on it in that sense.

Sending information possibly to the

Appropriations Committee, Finance, Revenue,
Bonding, you know, might be, you know, be an
avenue, you know, to look at there, discussing it
with them, you know, on it as a potential.

Some of it, I also think may be a little difficult, as we've known. You know we did get the annual report out this year, but you know no one has been really aware of what the Water Planning Council has been doing per se.

Obviously, they've heard about drought.

That's come up, particular issues on it. So I think part of it is, in a sense, to further educate people on the importance of the state water plan, everything in the need for this.

I think some of that could have been maybe some of the precedent of why this maybe didn't move forward. That's just my own personal thought on it, so.

THE CHAIRMAN: Martin, can we -- and Alecia sent me a message here about advocating for it, but the reality is that the office of the Governor has not supported this. That kind of puts us in a very challenging situation.

Martin, if we don't have an executive session on the agenda, can we still go into an executive

session at the end of the meeting?

MARTIN HEFT: We could, but the budget isn't

necessarily a topic for executive session under the rules.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. Well I mean, I guess we have to be cautious how we move forward. Maybe somehow we can take the temperature.

Do we have any -- actually, did this not come through DEEP? Wasn't this DEEP's?

GRAHAM STEVENS: So I believe this, this was -- or this would have been. Had it been a supported budget item, it would have been added to DEEP's budget, I believe.

I mean, generally what happens in the legislative budget making process is there's the Governor's budget, there's the legislative proposal, and then the legislators and the Governor negotiate.

And some items that were added by one get taken out, and some items that were not added get put back in. So it's part of the legislative deliberation, and really at this point it's between the Governor's office, OPM, and the legislative leadership.

So I think everyone can understand what that

5

7

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

means. Right? This is something that the agencies don't have a role in at this point. if anything, the agencies are doing -- is, we're supporting the Governor's budget, which is based on what we've requested.

And the legislative leadership can negotiate with the Governor and his representatives.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MARTIN HEFT: If I may? And thanks, Graham, for that, too.

Jack, you may want to -- as a possibility, as just Chair, is to reach out to the OPM secretary just again with the information and everything else, and maybe ask for reconsideration.

I mean, I'm not sure what the right terminology may be, but just to say thank you for the consideration type thing, and this is still -kind of restate this is still an important process for the water plan to be updated and everything else on it.

So it's just kind of another push, if you will.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think that's -- yeah. Why don't we do that? We can -- OPM, the Governor's office, Appropriations Committee, we -- a lot of us have been in this business a long time, and you always have to implement the implementer; that we might wait and get some money in there, too.

GRAHAM STEVENS: And the one thing I'd say, Jack, too, is -- and I've had similar experiences. And when folks reach out to an agency, you know we can certainly share that information with the decision makers.

so you know that's kind of important for -any budget item is going to require broad support,
and if the Water Planning Council receives that,
that indication from stakeholder groups that they
support this as a budget item, that could be
something that could be shared with the secretary
who has to balance a lot of budget demands and
needs. And that's, I think, all I can say on that
topic.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Lori?

LORI MATHIEU: I really do think that financial discussions between agencies belong outside of this discussion.

Well, I will bring up one thing. There is a lot of grant money out there, and maybe we should consider or we should ask some of our groups to

take a look at some of the grant money.

Maybe we could think a little bit differently about how to develop a grant proposal for us since we're in the position we're in right now. Maybe there's some opportunities there, because there's a lot of money out there.

There's a lot of grant opportunities.

There's a lot of competitive grant opportunities under the bill. So maybe we should ask, tee up an item for people to help and to look at other opportunities for funds.

THE CHAIRMAN: Uh-huh.

LORI MATHIEU: And the other thing I was thinking -and again, I don't like talking specifically about
budgets out of respect for the Governor's office
and OPM, and others that control all of this. I
respect greatly the decisions that have been made
so far.

But maybe there is a way, as Martin had mentioned, to go back -- maybe Jack as the Chair, to go back to the Secretary of OPM. And maybe there is some way forward with a smaller amount of money. I don't know -- some consideration of something. I don't know.

But the grant money, it might be worth us --

1 it probably is worth us looking into to see if 2 there's anything that we could tap into as a 3 group, because there seems to be a lot of 4 opportunities out there. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Indeed, there seems to be a lot of grant 6 money available. 7 Okay. So what I will do -- and I can circle 8 back with Martin and kind of discuss. 9 Anything I do, obviously I'm going to run by 10 Graham, you and Martin before we send anything out 11 and who we send it to, and everything else. 12 So we still have March, April, May. We still 13 have, like, three months, so -- but any ideas 14 anybody has, let us know. 15 Okay. The workgroup, our two chairs are 16 traveling. And so I'm going to move right on to 17 the drought workgroup. Martin? 18 MARTIN HEFT: Perfect. Thanks, Jack. 19 Just a note on the implementation workgroup 20 is, they did send us a written memo of the update 21 for their reporting that David sent. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 MARTIN HEFT: So we just might want to note that for 24 the record, they did give us a written update of 25 the implementation workgroup update.

```
1
    THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't we make a motion that we
2
         accept the March 3rd report from the co-chairs in
3
         the implementation group. They talked about
4
         meetings, outreach and education, data collection,
5
         tracking and reporting, phase two, and the AWE
6
         scorecard and membership which was -- the AWE
7
         scorecard was part of the attachment that they
8
         sent out.
9
              I don't know, Lori and Graham, if you had an
10
         opportunity to take a look at it?
11
    LORI MATHIEU:
                   Uh-huh.
12
    GRAHAM STEVENS:
                     I did.
13
    THE CHAIRMAN: Can we accept that, please?
14
    MARTIN HEFT: Make a motion?
15
    LORI MATHIEU: I'll second. I think Graham said, so
16
         moved. So I'll second that.
    GRAHAM STEVENS: Martin moved.
17
18
    LORI MATHIEU: Oh, Martin. I'm sorry.
19
    THE CHAIRMAN: Martin moved and you second?
20
              Any questions?
21
    LORI MATHIEU:
                   Yes, I would -- I don't know. Chris
22
         Bellucci, is anyone else seeing what's going on
         with his video? Or is it just me?
23
24
    GRAHAM STEVENS: Yeah. Chris, your video feed,
25
         scrolling.
```

1 LORI MATHIEU: What is going on? THE CHAIRMAN: It's weird. It's very weird, Chris. I 2 3 don't know what's going on. It looks like -- wow. 4 LORI MATHIEU: Take your camera, throw it against the 5 wall, hook it back up. It always works. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Wow. 7 LORI MATHIEU: Isn't that weird? It's really strange. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: That was weird. It was like -- it was 9 psychedelic. 10 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, I know. I was a little bit 11 worried about myself there for a second. 12 So with a second, for a discussion I would 13 say that maybe if we could put that report in the 14 chat. Is that possible? So people could see it. 15 GRAHAM STEVENS: I can try to do that. 16 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah. 17 GRAHAM STEVENS: Well, let me work on that real quick. 18 LORI MATHIEU: But they did share it. So maybe --19 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, a good idea. 20 GRAHAM STEVENS: And it came from Virginia, if I 21 remember. Correct? 22 LORI MATHIEU: Yes. 23 MARTIN HEFT: Great. Did we vote on that, Jack? 24 GRAHAM STEVENS: Not yet. 25 MARTIN HEFT: Okay.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we did, yes. Yeah, we did. 2 Okay. 3 LORI MATHIEU: Yes. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Denise --5 MARTIN HEFT: Oh -- well, no. Then I'll go into my 6 drought report. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. 8 MARTIN HEFT: I pushed you back, so don't worry. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Turning to the drought work. 10 Okay. 11 We don't have a drought. No drought. Right? 12 MARTIN HEFT: No, there is no drought. We did meet 13 last week. We are continuing to monitor the 14 situation. We've got the agencies, staffs all 15 working on looking at a report, at a post-drought 16 report, as we've mentioned. And then additionally, we are continuing 17 18 gathering information regarding one of the charges 19 that was assigned to the Water Planning Council, 20 Charge 3.02, looking at water use restrictions 21 between water suppliers and municipalities. 22 We are gathering information and getting a 23 report together. Hopefully you may have that for 24 the next meeting for review by the Water Planning

25

Council.

So those are the two items just on the drought updates.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Good. Thank you, Martin.

Any questions for Martin?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: A lot of good work coming out of that group, for sure.

Next -- now we'll go to Denise, the outreach and education group. Denise, I know you had a meeting this morning.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yes, thank you. So the outreach and education workgroup met this morning, and top of our list was looking at our two workshops. We had sent a copy of where we were going with this, the theme would be climate change.

The first workshop is going to be April 12th from, again, at noon to one. And we're looking at having that on drought and drought preparedness. And so we've been reaching out to various members of the interagency workgroup and looking to put that workshop together.

We're in the process of finalizing the speakers on that and hope to have that done within

the next week or so and get a save the date out.

So we're moving forward on that.

The second workshop will be May 10th, and that, again, will be from noon to one. And the theme of that, again with the climate change is, looking at water resources; how climate change is, you know, impacting, you know, water resources and watersheds.

So we're looking at the watersheds and we kind of were thinking -- we had a good discussion today about looking at some of the challenges we're seeing from, for example, in a forestry perspective.

We know that we've had, you know, major pest challenges with the spongy moth. It used to be called the gypsy moth. Now it's spongy moth, for those who haven't heard the new terminology. I have to remember to say it myself.

And then, you know, having that impacting, for example, in Eastern Connecticut. That was a huge impact, and then exacerbated by drought. So we've got real challenges in our watersheds with our forests. So kind of looking at that.

Also looking at, you know, and of course, what that means in terms of water quality and

water quantity when our forests are so severely impacted. Also looking at, you know, what are the challenges in our streams and with our fisheries resource?

So we're going to take a holistic approach on looking at water resources from the, you know, forest-to-faucet type concept, no-net-loss-of-forest concept and how these impacts on some of our lands within our watersheds is impacting our water resources.

So we're just starting to put together a list of potential speakers. If anybody has them, we're always looking for speakers -- but we have, you know, we've been looking at UConn and talking about some folks we know from there. Doug Hoskins gave us a great list of a couple of speakers from DEEP.

So we'll be putting that together and hopefully we'll have that, you know, more news on that at the next meeting.

The two other things I think are important we did talk about drought education. Even though we're focusing a little bit on drought here, there's this ongoing drought education. And right now we're not in a drought, so what better time to

do the drought education preparedness piece in terms of getting the information together?

I think we had reported that we were working with CIRCA, and Mike Dietz is following up on that to get some information out of CIRCA and make sure what they're doing for climate change and climate change resiliency, that they have some good information on drought on their website and that we can share.

And then also again following up with Sue Quincy -- and she has been really active getting out climate change education, and drought is a piece of that.

So we're going to be looking at that.

And then specifically we were looking at, how do we utilize our website? How do we utilize, you know, what materials are needed? There's a lot of folks doing work on climate. There's a lot of folks doing education and outreach, you know, to all kinds of audiences, you know, whether it be municipal, general audiences, schools. And what kind of materials do they need that we could help with them? So we started really focusing on that discussion as well.

And then the last piece I want to -- well,

let me say the quick one is the logo. DEEP is working on, you know again, some protocols on how we utilize the logo.

We've already used it on the annual report that came out, but where we have a group working on that, DEEP and Department of Public Health are going to be looking at that and coming out with some recommendations on how we best use that logo.

So making sure it's used appropriately, but also has access to the folks who need to have access to it.

And then the last thing was we were really -we're talking about the website, and the
discussion we just had about that we didn't get
the budget. We don't want to ignore the website
issue, especially from an education and outreach
perspective.

The website is doing some things that it needs to do right now, and that has to do with making sure that we meet the FOIA requirements, but from an education outreach perspective, it could be more.

And we kind of gave you some of the thoughts that we had, initial thoughts on what should happen. So again, we're looking for input.

But one of the things that, you know, one of our young people on our committee came up with was, you know, when we're doing these videos for the education and outreach, are we putting them on YouTube?

For example, DEEP has a YouTube site. So we're going to be exploring, exploring that. And are we utilizing things the best we can? Are there other videos from, like you know, UConn or whatever that we should be, you know, directing people to as part of this outreach and education?

So we want to continue to explore that. And again, if you have any feedback to us on the website and how we move forward, I can't articulate, you know, strongly enough that this is something that really needs to take place.

And we understand that we're working with staff that is, you know, stretched beyond its max and whatever. So we're trying to figure out how to do that, but what should we tackle first? And how do we make sure that the website is doing what we need it to do?

So thanks. I think that's our update. And I'll just put it out -- I know we have some other members who were on there, particularly Allie.

1 Allie -- and Allie and Dan from the other 2 agencies. And if they have anything that I 3 forgot, let me know. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Denise, as usual. 5 A lot of good work. I actually went on -- you 6 know our website does have a lot of information, 7 because I actually went on it to look up some 8 information behind the implementation workgroup to 9 see who was on it and get some guidance. 10 And it was very, very, very, very good and 11 very easy to get to. So we should put a lot more. 12 Now we have our own YouTube informational 13 station here, and I know DPH -- so maybe somehow 14 we can incorporate the Water Planning Council into 15 one of our agencies' YouTube. 16 Chris Bellucci, I don't know what's going on over there, but Chris Bellucci is having a very 17 difficult time with this. 18 19 DENISE SAVAGEAU: I love how everybody else's face 20 keeps popping up that's not Chris. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Chris, what are you doing over 22 there? 23 Any other questions for Denise? 24 25 (No response.)

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Alecia messaged me a while back and said 2 she was going to testify. And I -- is Dan 3 Lawrence on the line? 4 5 (No response.) 6 7 Okay. So why don't we skip down to THE CHAIRMAN: 8 watershed lands workgroup. Karen? 9 KAREN BURNASKA: Sure. Hello. Yes, we'll do that. 10 And hopefully Alecia will be able to get on. 11 Yes, the watershed lands workgroup is meeting 12 on Friday, and we have a good jam-packed meeting. 13 One of the highlights will be Paul Hinsch from OPM 14 will be with us, and he will be explaining the OPM 15 role in the transfer of straight surplus land, the 16 process that goes through, that that is followed. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. 18 KAREN BURNASKA: And we have good information that's 19 also attached to our agenda from DEEP on their 20 process and the link to the CEQ website, which has 21 information on the land transfer process. 22 So we're trying to get just -- what the 23 workgroup is trying to do is to get some better 24 understanding of how it works, the roles of OPM,

DEEP and how they work together. And you know,

how the request for transfer of land moves through the process. So that will be a very good discussion.

Then we have lots of -- I mean, we put legislation on the process, and I'm just updating my agenda with more bills every day. There, there's so much going on in the Legislature. We know what we're -- about a half an hour after our meeting, the watershed lands workgroup meeting, that there will be a public hearing on a bill regarding a study on the conservation of land and water in the Upper Farmington River. A lot of it's the MDC land. That's going on.

I hear that there is a public hearing on neonics, and there's -- we have lots of bills that we are going to discuss and give updates also on various items that have been in front of us before, such as the MDC declaratory ruling.

Aaron Budrous is there. He will give a WUCC update -- and a jam-packed meeting. And we hope you'll all join us. It's via Zoom, 9 a.m., on Friday the 10th.

And I'll have to tell you -- I know that Margaret was on also and would like to say something -- but I see Alecia.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Alecia is back, yes.
2	KAREN BURNASKA: And I want to get her Alicia is
3	back. So I will turn the floor back to Alecia.
4	ALICEA CHARAMUT: That's all right. If Margaret wanted
5	to say anything (unintelligible)
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Margaret, would you like thank you
7	very much, Karen. Margaret?
8	MARGARET MINER: I think Karen covered everything.
9	It's going to be quite a meeting.
LO	Thank you, Karen.
L1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Margaret.
L2	And thank you, Karen.
L3	Alecia, did you testify?
L4	ALICEA CHARAMUT: No, not yet. But I of course, I
L5	think it's going to be a few minutes, but you know
L6	how that goes. Now they'll probably call my name
L7	since I stepped out.
L8	So hold on. Let me get back to where I
L9	was. So Bonnie Burr joined us at our last
20	meeting. It was great to see a new face.
21	And Carol, was there any updates you had from
22	the nominating committee?
23	CAROL HASKINS: No, no updates.
24	ALICEA CHARAMUT: Okay. I just wanted to check before
25	I went by.

Hold on one second. Let me just scan here.

We are still putting a group together to look at prioritization, and I do have yet to call together the conservation prioritization workgroup, but that will happen soon, as soon as the craziness of the Legislature calms down. So it's just been extremely busy lately.

So is there anything else I'm missing from the advisory group? I scanned through the minutes and I don't think there's anything. We did a lot of reporting back from your last meeting. We had a discussion about the funding. And of course, I don't know if everyone saw, but Denise had shared her testimony with the Appropriations Committee.

And so please take a look at that.

And I think it would be, you know, helpful for us at the next meeting, the next advisory group meeting, to think about how we can really kind of be cheerleaders for what we've got going on here and the need for funding.

So I don't see Dan. So if there's anybody else from the advisory group that wants to remind me of something I've forgotten, please speak up.

Otherwise, that's my report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Alecia. I know you're busy,

1 and thanks to you and the advisory group. 2 And Denise, so you put your testimony in the 3 chat. Correct? 4 DENISE SAVAGEAU: I did. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very much for that. 6 Any questions for Alecia? 7 8 (No response.) 9 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. Is there any public 11 comment? Any public comment? 12 DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes? 14 MARGARET MINER: Is Carol going to make a public 15 comment, Carol, on the Nonnewaug bridgework? CAROL HASKINS: Yeah. So this is Carol Haskins from 16 Pomperaug River Watershed Coalition. My video is 17 18 unstable, so I'm going to stay off video. 19 Margaret actually called me last Friday with 20 a report of seeing an environmental consulting 21 type team doing work on a bridge over the 22 Nonnewaug River in the Pomperaug Watershed. 23 And doing some further investigation, learned that DOT is doing a fair amount of bridgework 24 25 because there's lead paint that has been chipping

and entering into rivers and streams.

And found out, you know, the roster of bridges within our watershed, and there didn't really seem to be a great amount of communication to municipalities that I could find. I don't know who DOT has communicated to, but from a public health and water quality perspective, I thought that was something that would be worth bringing to the attention of the Water Planning Council as well as the members, you know, in the audience here as just something to keep a pulse on.

And I don't know if it's something that's been flagged to, you know, Connecticut DEEP or Department of Health from both environmental quality or public health safety kind of stuff, but slightly alarming to learn that there's lead paint chipping into a few of our rivers, just locally here, as well as throughout the state.

MARTIN HEFT: Jack --

CAROL HASKINS: (Unintelligible) -- anything on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Graham?

GRAHAM STEVENS: Yeah, I'm happy to provide some additional context. And thank you for the comments.

So I believe in February, DEEP was alerted to

1 some paint chipping issues and we had concern. 2 was actually not to a watercourse, but it was in a 3 neighborhood. We had concerns about contaminants 4 associated with lead paints -- with paints, 5 including lead. 6 So we collected some samples of the chips and 7 did find that there was lead present in those 8 paint chips. 9 Since that point in February DOT has been 10 trying to determine the extent of the bridges in 11 its portfolio that have, you know, that still have 12 lead paint, that where the lead paint is chipping 13 or may be chipping. 14 And it is -- yeah, there are a sizable number of bridges in Connecticut that have confirmed or 15 16 suspected lead paint issues. And I believe DOT is 17 working on some protocols to address that as 18 expeditiously as possible. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Graham. 20 Any questions for Graham? 21 22 (No response.) 23 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other public comments? 25 Denise, yes, you had a public comment?

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yes. So obviously the budget has been discussed, but I think taking off most of my hats, I just wanted to comment that I think this is something really needed. I understand the process and I understand the challenges of the Water Planning Council once the Governor's budget is in place, and you know, that as agencies your job is to really follow that.

If there is information on how this impacts your agency, I think information is key for those of us who are advocates for you. So if there's information on, you know, that we need that would help us be better advocates, I hope that you will share that with the Water Planning Council advisory group and those of us who advocate for water resources.

I did put my testimony in the chat because I think it's important that you understand that there are those of us who recognize how important this is, and that we will be advocating for that.

And if there's something we can do, we'd be more than happy to come in and support that as you work your internal channels that you need to work in, and again, within the, you know, the Water Planning Council, and your agencies and OPM and

the Governor's budget and how that process works.

But I just want to say that I think that this is critically important. And my testimony says one important thing. Right now, the water planning -- or I should say the state water plan implementation workgroup is being led by Virginia and Dave, two retired resource professionals.

Myself, I'm a retired resource professional and I'm chairing the outreach and education. And I wonder how far we would be with the state water plan implementation without those three water resource professionals, you know, high level professionals doing this.

And this is not a sustainable structure. I can't state that enough. Eventually Virginia and David and I are really going to retire. And you know, who is going to step up?

And I think that having a state water plan and that when we really worked on that annual report, and David and I and Virginia spent a lot of time on it. And I can't tell you how many hours David spent over the weekends doing this to make sure that we got this report to you guys by December.

So this was during the holiday season and a

lot of time was spent on it, and really realizing that who was making this happen. And I'm not discounting all of the work of the wonderful staff of the agencies. You know there's so much.

But I also know that they're stretched as thin as they can go, and that they have other jobs and the Water Planning Council isn't their main job, and that we can only ask so much.

So how do we move forward? And I think we have this compelling message that we need to get out there.

I will let you know, on one of my other hats
I serve on the Connecticut League of Conservation
Voters. So all the legislators that -- or many of
the legislators that are in place today, we
interviewed and I helped interview. And we
specifically asked about support for water
planning -- and it is there.

So I hope that if you, you know, we specifically asked leadership like Senator Looney, you know, can you support this? So I want you to know that there's support for water planning in the Legislature, and it's a matter of us making sure that then there's support administratively.

And again, you know, talking to the Water

Planning Council -- because this is yours, but the folks who are on there, we need to step this up and make sure that everybody understands how important this is. It's critically important, the work of the Water Planning Council.

This coordinating -- otherwise we continue to work in silos, and that is just not a good thing for water resources in our state.

So thank you for the time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Denise and all. Very excellent points. And as you know, I mean, we've been around a very long time -- and that's the whole reason we were established around 2000, 2001, was because we had the silos.

And we have the plan, but the plan now needs to be updated. So we definitely need to get some funds. So all your points will become part of the argument to get the testimony -- I should say, to get the funds. So thank you.

Any other public comment?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: If not, our next meeting will be April
4th, and there will be meetings in between

starting with the watershed lands workgroup will be this Friday, 9 a.m. Attorney Klein, do you have a comment or are you just waving goodbye? Okay. If there's nothing else to come before us, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MARTIN HEFT: So moved. GRAHAM STEVENS: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? THE COUNCIL: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you all very much. Be safe, everyone. Take care. Thank you all. (End: 2:17 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 40 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of an annotated digital recording of the Regular Meeting of the Connecticut Water Planning Council, which was held before JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN, via teleconference on March 7, 2023.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M #857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025

1		INDEX						
2		VOTES TAKEN						
3	DESC	(Unanimous Approval) DESCRIPTION						
4		2/7/'23 Transcript approval 3						
5	Adjo	Adjournment 40						
6			TOPICS OF DISCUSSION					
7	The		Correspondence, V. de Lima WPC IWG membership	PAGE(s) 4-6 7				
9	L.	Mathieu:	Private wells; public act, hiring	8-9				
10	М.	Heft:	Budget: no funds for WPC Council Discussion	10-11 11-18				
11 12	М.	Heft:	Implementation workgroup update Drought report, Charge 3.02	18-20 21				
13	D.	Savageau:	Outreach and Ed: Workshops Drought education, website, logo	22-24 24-28				
14 15			Watershed transfers, neonic's prioritization, funding	29-30 31-32				
16	c.		OMMENT Nonnewaug River, lead paint Council Discussion	33-34 34-35				
17	D.	Savageau:	Information sharing, staffing	36-39				
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								