CERTIFIED COPY

STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL

Regular Meeting held on Tuesday, October 3, 2023 beginning at 1:31 p.m.

Held Before:

JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN

Reporter: Vickie E. Wiechec, CVR-M, CCR 2237

Appearances:

Council Members Present:

JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN (PURA)

MARTIN HEFT (OPM)

LORI MATHIEU (DPH)

GRAHAM STEVENS (DEEP)

Water Planning Council Advisory Group:

DENISE SAVAGEAU

ALICEA CHARAMUT

ERIC MCPHEE

MARGARET MINER

DAN LAWRENCE

Others Present (of record):

ERIC LINDQUIST

1	(Begin: 1:31 p.m.)
2	
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, everyone.
4	Welcome to the Water Planning Council meeting for
5	October 3rd.
6	This is a hybrid meeting and I want to remind
7	people that we are being recorded by CTN today. So if
8	you speak and you're not here in person, make sure you
9	put your camera on and tell us who you are. So, the
10	ground rules before we start.
11	So let's call the meeting to order.
12	And the first order of minutes is the approval
13	of the transcript from September 5th.
14	The motion.
15	LORI MATHIEU: So moved.
16	MARTIN HEFT: I second that.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and second that the
18	September 5th transcript be approved.
19	Any questions or comments?
20	If not, all in favor signify by saying, aye.
21	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
22	LORI MATHIEU: Jack, I just saw that Graham
23	Graham has joined us.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Grahams on here now? Good.
25	All right.

1 Good afternoon, Graham. 2 GRAHAM STEVENS: Good afternoon. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: So correspondence -- We have 4 correspondence, but that will be taken under action 5 items. б The first thing under Item 4 is the discussion 7 of the -- Is there any public comment before I begin? 8 Any public -- Yes, Alicea? 9 Good afternoon. 10 ALICEA CHARAMUTE: So we were -- Virginia and I 11 were just wondering under -- she and I and Dan had a 12 discussion about integrating the groups and wondering 13 which part of the agenda you wanted to have us to give 14 us a report under? Would it be under the -- the 15 retreat or our --16 THE CHAIRMAN: Under your report. 17 ALICEA CHARAMUTE: Our reports? Okay. Thank 18 you. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for clarifying. 20 LORI MATHIEU: Jack, just wondering if Alicea 21 could state her name for the record and who she 22 represents? 23 ALICEA CHARAMUTE: Sorry. Alisa Charamute, 24 Advisory Group. 25 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, but who do you work for? 2 I'm just kidding. 3 Okay. So any other public comment? Any other 4 public comment? 5 If there's no other public comment, we ll move б on to the action items discussion of the ESF 12 update 7 and request municipal assistant participation in this. 8 Lori is a member of that group and as is our 9 Chairman, Mercer Gillett. And at the last meeting, 10 there was some dialogue and discussion regarding the 11 municipal water company's involvement in some -- mostly 12 storm preparation, I believe, Lori? 13 LORI MATHIEU: Correct. Yes. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to expand on 15 that, please? 16 LORI MATHIEU: Certainly. So the ESF 12 is the 17 emergency function that deals with utilities led by 18 And there's a subcommittee that was formed going PURA. 19 back some years to work together with power -- bringing 20 the power companies together with utilities, whether 21 it's water or wastewater. Ourselves, at DPH, 22 representing the drinking water regulatory areas. And 23 so I -- I've participated in those meetings along with 24 staff. Eric McPhee is a person who attends with me 25 from our staff at the Department of Public Health.

The last meeting where Eversource, UI, the power companies, along with the -- the two largest privately held utilities, Aquarian and Connecticut Water Company, have been participating in this discussion about critical -- critical facilities to identify for power restoration programs, right, to identify where those critical facilities are.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that there has been a list historically, but what we're looking to do is to redefine what we mean, be very clear about what we mean about critical facilities and then work really hard to identify those. So that, you know, between the water, wastewater and power companies, that we have a very good list.

And so it was brought up at one of our last meetings recently that we have Aquarian and Connecticut Water represented, but that we should also be inviting municipal systems, the larger municipal systems, which there are quite a few in our state or on the public drinking water side of things.

So I wanted -- I had mentioned that I would bring this item up here, Jack and Martin and Graham, for us to -- to think about it and how we could work together. Because I know, Graham, on your side of it with the wastewater side, how we could you know, work to, you know, identify this opportunity for our

municipal utilities and how we could get them involved and just wanted to put it on the, you know, on the agenda and have some discussion about it. I know that there's people that represent municipal water systems and also I see others that represent, you know, groups of, you know, eight industry groups. So something that we thought would be good to bring up here for some discussion and some input. THE CHAIRMAN: Graham --GRAHAM STEVENS: I m glad you raised this -this, Lori. So DEEP is also participating and trying to identify and prioritize it s critical facilities in the -- in the sewer and wastewater world to ensure that the, you know, the electric supply -- suppliers are -are prioritizing the restoration of those facilities as quickly as possible. And obviously, wastewater would have an impact on -- on drinking water and resources as well. So I think it's a -- critical to make sure that all of the -- the right folks are in on that conversation. THE CHAIRMAN: Martin? MARTIN HEFT: I -- I think we talked -- Lori and I talked a little bit about this today. And during

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 COVID, Lori headed up, as you know, almost weekly at

the beginning, cause -- checking with all of our water -- wastewater facilities around the state to make sure that they had what they needed. And during naturals, storms, hurricanes and rainstorms and snowstorms, we kicked that into effect as well. But I think that, Lori, perhaps we should draft a letter from the Water Planning Council saying -asking people that are not participating to participate in this -- hopefully, making this a movement. Does anybody have any -- I don't know if we want to make a formal motion or we just do that? LORI MATHIEU: I'm also wondering if there s anyone who wanted to, you know, speak. I know I see Betsy's -- In the chat, I see Betsy Gara put a note in the chat. I don't know Betsy, if you wanted to say anything --BETSY GARA: Sure. LORI MATHIEU: Thank you. BETSY GARA: Sorry about that. I don't know why it s listing me as COST, but Betsy Gara, on behalf of the Connecticut Waterworks Association. We did have representatives from Eversource and UI and Connecticut Water Company at our last Board of Directors meeting and we had a very robust discussion

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regarding the goals of the ESF 12 in terms of identifying critical facilities. And I know Pat Kearney was participating in that as well.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we did agree that they would share a template with us and we would encourage all of our member municipal water utilities to complete that template so that the information regarding critical facilities is easily accessible to the power company so that those facilities can be restored quickly.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's great. That's great news.

Any other comments? Dan?

DAN LAWRENCE: Hello. This is Dan Lawrence, Co-Chair of the Water Council Advisory Group and part of Aquarion Water. During that meeting, I actually brought up -- since we re going to be reaching out to all the municipalities -- did you want to incorporate wastewater and how would we do that because we re going to reach out to all the water -- those that have water, typically have wastewater.

So if there's an ability, Graham, or anyone on our planning Council in that regard, it would be one -you know it's going to be a -- a spreadsheet that they can fill out. I don't think Eversource and UI are distinguishing between water -- wastewater, per say, as

1	opposed to getting list of critical facilities.
2	So it may be an opportunity here just to
3	combine efforts and avoid doing it once and then doing
4	it again. Okay.
5	CARLOS ESQUERRA: Just a little
6	DAN LAWRENCE: Go ahead, please.
7	CARLOS ESQUERRA: Yes. Good afternoon. My
8	name is Carlos Esquerra. I'm Connecticut DEEP
9	Wastewater. I work under Graham Stevens.
10	I just wanted to let you know that we've
11	already done some of the outreach that is being
12	proposed here. We've reached out to pretty much 100
13	percent of the municipalities that have a wastewater
14	treatment plant on site, in town as well as those
15	communities that rely or have collection system only
16	systems, that don't have a treatment plan in town.
17	And we are we have already collected a
18	significant amount of information on pump stations and
19	and obviously, we have already an existing database
20	with wastewater where the wastewater treatment
21	plants are located. So we ll be happy to facilitate
22	that information to the workgroup. But some of that
23	work, it s already being done by by Connecticut
24	DEEP.
25	DAN LAWRENCE: So just Again, Dan Lawrence,

DAN LAWRENCE: So just -- Again, Dan Lawrence,

1	Sorry. So Eversource and UI have this spread
2	specialized template they re want they want
3	everybody to fill out. And so, maybe
4	CARLOS ESQUERRA: Yeah.
5	DAN LAWRENCE: if we can get that over to
6	CARLOS ESQUERRA: Yeah. The Yes. Yes. We
7	actually coordinated with Eversource and we already
8	collected some of the account information and so the
9	information that they essentially need to facilitate,
10	you know, response during that emergency. But we have
11	already collected some of that information.
12	DAN LAWRENCE: Okay. I just wanted to make
13	sure. Thank you.
14	CARLOS ESQUERRA: Yeah. You re welcome.
15	DAN LAWRENCE: Well, I
16	LORI MATHIEU: And similar Jack, similar to
17	Carlos and DEEP, DPH, we ve we ve done that
18	historically. I think what one thing we d like to
19	do is just make sure that it's up to date with all our
20	municipal partners. I see Pat Kearney there from
21	Manchester. You know, we want to make sure that it is
22	what you would decide on as a municipality that is
23	important for your drinking water, you know, our side
24	is the drinking water.
25	So we we have an older set of data, but you

know, keeping that up to date and current is -- is incredibly important.

And then I -- I think, you know, on -- on top of that, is, you know, to -- we talk a lot about with UI and Eversource, the coordination between water, wastewater, at a municipal level and what is, you know, what, in a municipality s idea of what are the priorities, that, you know, we should have that laid out and very clear, you know, as a -- in a coordinated effort.

And that's the -- the -- that s the best benefit of the ESF 12 effort that PURA leads.

So whatever we can do to put that information together and be, you know, prepared in the best way that we can with current information is just going to be very helpful.

THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, was there a timeframe that the -- your ESF 12 --

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

LORI MATHIEU: ESF 12 --

THE CHAIRMAN: -- group is --

LORI MATHIEU: Good -- good question. I think that, you know, we met a couple of weeks back. I'm going to look to Eric McPhee to see if he recalls a timeframe.

25

I -- I know that it s just -- we were going to

bring it up here and -- at this meeting and then see if we could -- and I think, you know, through Betsy's and, you know, her efforts and what Dan also mentioned, Dan Lawrence, that I think in the next couple of weeks it will really be good to make sure that we have everybody represented as far as on -- on the drinking water side and to reach out to as many as we could, you know, with Betsy's assistance and everyone else's assistance here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Eric, I don't know -- see you there.

ERIC MCPHEE: Yeah, I -- I don t believe there s been a timeframe. There s still quite a bit to be done and I haven't heard any talk of a timeframe for completion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Eric. But what I m thinking is that -- I don't think that we need to go --I don't think that we, as the Water Planning Council -unless anybody disagrees with me -- need to do anything right now. I think that we should just keep this on the agenda, have people report back to us next month, DEEP, DPH, and -- and Betsy see how things -- it seems like things, mechanisms -- mechanism is in place right now to get to groups it s looking for.

Is everybody -- councilmembers okay -- with that approach?

MARTIN HEFT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Very good. MARTIN HEFT: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you all very much. Next, we'll have -- it will be 2022 -- 2022 Drought After Action Report, Martin Heft.

MARTIN HEFT: Good after --

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: You did a great job with those. We had lots of water in the state of Connecticut.

MARTIN HEFT: Yeah. So no drought stages.

So, the Interagency Drought Workgroup has been putting together it's 2022 Drought After Action Report as required by the Drought Plan.

I do want to thank and acknowledge our Drought Coordinator, Becca Dahl, who I know was on the call, as well as all of our agency team members who have worked on this and their listed in the report of this that have worked in putting this together, compiling our kind of After Action Report.

Kind of goes through gives a history of the 2022 drought as well as going in -- looking at areas that need further improvement as well as next steps in the drought plan recommendations that the Interagency Drought Workgroup will continue looking at and reviewing as we continue moving forward.

This is a good summary to have documentation of

1 the 2022 drought and the Drought Workgroup did approve at the September 7th meeting and requesting that the 2 3 Water Planning Council just accept our Drought Post-4 Action Report at today's meeting. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to make that a 6 motion? 7 MARTIN HEFT: I will make that a motion that we 8 accept the Interagency Drought Workgroup s 2022 Drought 9 After Action Report as submitted. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to that? Do I 11 hear a second? 12 GRAHAM STEVENS: I ll second. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and --14 GRAHAM STEVENS: Second. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: -- seconded. 16 Any -- any questions on the motion? 17 LORI MATHIEU: I have a -- a question. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 19 LORI CHAIRMAN: Could we place that report in 20 the chat? 21 BECCA DAHL: I -- I can work on that, Lori. 22 Hold on just one moment. 23 LORI MATHIEU: Just so that -- If so, Martin, 24 just so that everyone knows and can see what -- what 25 we're talking about here.

1 MARTIN HEFT: Yes. It -- it was sent out with the agenda packet as well in the email agenda that was 2 3 sent out. So everyone should have it if you're on that 4 chain, but we can definitely get that into the chat for 5 anyone else who may not have it. 6 Thank you --7 LORI MATHIEU: Great --8 MARTIN HEFT: -- Becca. 9 LORI MATHIEU: Thank you, Martin. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Anything else? Any other 11 questions? 12 Thank you all for your work on this and all those in favor, signify by saying, aye. 13 14 THE COUNCIL: Aye. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion is carried. 16 Moving down to number five agency report, 17 status report and draft WPC Annual Report. Virginia, 18 Agency Team Members. 19 Virginia cannot be with us today. Is --20 Anybody else that could give us any kind of update on 21 this? 22 LORI MATHIEU: Jack, I know that Eric Lindquist 23 is on. 24 I know he was prepared to say a few Eric? 25 words, if you --

1 Oh --THE CHAIRMAN: 2 -- are okay with that? LORI MATHIEU: 3 THE CHAIRMAN: -- of course. 4 Eric? Hi, Eric. 5 ERIC LINDQUIST: Hi, Jack. Hi Lori. б I'm Eric Lindquist for the Department of Public 7 Health. I m -- I'm assisting as part of the workgroup 8 to prepare the annual report, WPC, this fall. 9 Yeah, just to recap on behalf of Virginia. So 10 our group just got together for our first meeting last 11 We had our first meeting on Wednesday and we week. 12 went over initial objectives and initial assignments. 13 We're right now in the process of revising and 14 finalizing the subgroup and committee reporting 15 template that we send to the chairs of all of the 16 advisory groups and -- and workgroups and so forth 17 under the WPC so that we can get feedback directly from 18 the chairs on what's been accomplished in the past year 19 and how those accomplishments are satisfying one of the 20 17 statutory -- statutory requirements listed under the 21 State Water Plan. And that way, we can distill all of 22 that information down into our annual report and get 23 that report over to the Council as quickly as possible 24 so that there's enough time to review. 25 Right now we hope to have feedback from

workgroup and committee chairs by the middle of October, so within a couple of weeks or so, and then have a first draft or actually, a final draft for review by the Water Planning Council members by mid-November so that there's enough time for agency review and council review so that you can take action, potentially, at the December WPC meeting.

> THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Any -- Thank you, Eric. Any additional comments?

LORI MATHIEU: Eric, thank -- So, I know,

Martin, we had talked about a schedule during the last Water Council Planning meeting and we -- we wanted to be able to have a draft for the -- the next meeting so that we could review that and then get our drafts to our particular agencies. It -- it seems as though we may not meet that schedule.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

(Overlapping speakers).

MARTIN HEFT: Go ahead, Eric.

ERIC LINDQUIST: Go ahead, Martin. No, I'm sorry. I was -- I was just going to say real quick, we were discussing time frames and what was realistic to be able to get accomplished by our group. And what we had agreed upon was getting something completed by mid-November.

25

However, if there s a willingness by council

members to want to expedite that, we can certainly work within our group to try to get something sooner.

MARTIN HEFT: And thanks, Eric. I think, ultimately, backing out, we have a -- to submit our legislative report by January 1st. So that we've got to -- you know, if we look at our timeline and back it out, we d have to have, you know, have a draft, you know, for our November meeting, which I believe is, what, November 7th --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

ERIC LINDQUIST: Election day.

MARTIN HEFT: -- on that. And then that leaves us time so we could do a final vote on our December meeting, which would be probably the first or second week of December in order to get it out by January.

I mean, obviously, a special meeting could be called, but obviously, that's more trying to get that accomplished and everything.

So anything that could be done so we d have a draft report, you know, as of November 7th, which probably, you know, pushes it up about a week for, you know, the team working on this and everything. From mid-November would be you know, great, even if we, you know, get it in the Friday before so we have, you know, some time to look over and everything before the meeting on the -- you know, on that Tuesday. That

would, I think, be the goal that we d be looking for. And that -- Those are my thoughts. I don't 3 know the rest of the council members. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: That sounds fine with me. LORI MATHIEU: Martin, I would agree. So that would be -- that would be November 3rd, if we could see a -- a draft of -- of whatever you have, that would be ideal. MARTIN HEFT: And I think just maybe if I also may just add, you know, we had preliminary talked at previous meetings of keeping this annual report, you know, simple, a two-pager, if you will, you know, type 13 of format that we're really just giving an update of 14 the big highlights of this year because last year's report was kind of, you know, over the past like five 16 years, if you will. So we really, you know, it s -- hoping, you know -- and I'm not the one working on this. So I

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thank, you know, all of you that are, that it shouldn't be as, you know, needing to go in a -- in depth as we have had in the past, you know, the past reports.

It's only going to be kind of a summary of our highlights over the past year of what was done.

ERIC LINDQUIST: Yeah. That's a great point I think our -- the members in our group, a lot Martin.

of them worked on last year's report, which was, as you mentioned, it encompassed five years of time and it was quite -- quite a heavy lift. It took quite a bit of work to get all of that information gathered up and -- and presented in what ended up being a -- a hefty report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

But I think -- I think to your point, this time around, we're only talking about summarizing the activities over the past year. It should be much more straightforward. Plus, we already have a process that was established last year that we can follow.

So we ll -- our next meeting is tomorrow and I'll bring that request back to the group. Then I think we can work within that timeframe.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's great.

Any -- any further comment?

Thanks very much, Eric and thanks to the group. Moving on to Advisory Group.

 19
 DAN LAWRENCE: Good afternoon. I'm Dan

 20
 Lawrence. We good? Everybody good?

Again, Dan Lawrence, Co-Chair of the Water Planning Council Advisory Group and Alicea is here as well.

So we spent the majority of the last meeting on
 the Water Planning Council Advisory Group discussing

the combination of combining the -- implementation workgroups and the Water Planning Council Advisory Groups, that was the bulk of our conversation other than, I will say, general updates in general on various things. And I would say overall that group is in favor of that particular action.

Many people serve in two or three functions, as we all know. How that actually works, we did not spend the time to do all the logistics, but rather, support the effort. And a couple of other things that came up over -- is really around would you incorporate agency members into that group as well as members, not as just, you know, present in the public, if you will, and how -- how that happens.

But I -- I would say -- And, Alicea, I don't know if you have anything else on this? This was our major conversation.

But again, the group really felt like it was the best decision to eliminate the fractions of what are happening and hopefully, allow for some better efficiency and use of people's time, so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE CHAIRMAN: Alicea?

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Yeah. So we did have an opportunity to speak with Virginia about, you know, what an integration would look like. As Dan said, there are still some questions about, you know, about how certain things would work.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, at first it looked like a tri-tier (phonetic) situation would -- would work out really well, but if you look at the Water Planning Advisory Group operational procedures, it does specify that there needs to be balance between the Co-Chairs.

So, you know, we need to have a discussion and -- and figure out what it would look like if there was a tri-tier position and how we can continue to achieve that balance when you have an odd number of people. As well as you know, how -- as he said -- agency will be integrated as well. And I know it was really important that the agencies had a voting membership on the implementation work group. And you know, we -- we --It's just something we need to look at more closely as we move forward.

And the next steps here, once we get some of these things ironed out, is to change our operational procedures to reflect what the new group would look like. But I think -- And -- and I think we all agreed that it was very important that implementation remains a focus of, you know, at least part of the advisory group or a -- a good portion of it.

And Virginia and I talked a little bit about,

you know, how, you know, things could be divided up. And I know I ve always viewed the implementation group as implementing consensus recommendations of the State Water Plan, and they've done that very well, and the advisory group of taking, you know, some of the pathways forward or discussing any other non-water plan issue that -- that comes up and -- and sort of is brought into the water forums.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we still have to have some discussions, but as Dan said, the Advisory Group from the last meeting, there was consensus that we should merge. Virginia said there s consensus from the advisory group that -or the implementation group, that they -- they -- they should merge. So it's just a matter of ironing out the details from here.

And I'm not -- Does that answer all the questions, at least preliminary questions, we had from the last Water Planning Council meeting?

MARTIN HEFT: Yeah. Thank -- thank you both and kind of follow up on that and I think we ve got later on the agenda under business, kind of going over all of this. So this information s kind of helpful and I think, you know, from the -- the retreat, workshop, whatever we called it, you know, I think that encompasses, you know, the discussions that were there

and some of the pieces that, you know, kind of need those decisions, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

So appreciate you concurring, you know, that that's kind of, you know, same concept we got out of it, you know. I think talking -- I've talked with each of the council members, you know, individually, just different topics over the past month, you know. And this being one of them, OF kind of laying out kind of a plan which, you know, we ll go through later, which will, you know, probably help us setting, you know, and answer those questions that you have forward there.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: And I think one of the other big questions that was brought up -- because, to me, in my mind s, you know, why can t the -- the agencies be a voting member? But I think there is still that question of the agencies are going to be advising the agencies?

So, you know, there s -- there's those questions that come up where something seemed common sense, but then on the other common sense side, it -there are questions that are brought up, so.

THE CHAIRMAN: I -- I see your point, but there's no prohibition in the statutes --

ALICEA CHARAMUT: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: -- about that and I can tell you

I m --

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

ALICEA CHARAMUT: There s not.

THE CHAIRMAN: -- Chairman of the -- a hospital board of directors, and there's doctors on that board. So they re -- they're voting for their own -- I mean, for --for their own agenda -- agenda at -- at times. I -- I don't -- Personally, I don t see any problem with it, but you know, we can discuss it more.

The other thing is that I did speak with Claire Coleman from the Consumer Council this morning and she is committed to getting somebody on the Consumer Council as a working member of the -- of the Advisory Group, which it could be herself, could be herself and a designee, but it's going to -- it s going to have to -- She s going to back to me.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Well, that will be music to Carol s ears.

THE CHAIRMAN: I know.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: And she ll be giving an -- an
 update here in a little --

THE CHAIRMAN: I -- I --

ALICEA CHARAMUT: -- bit.

THE CHAIRMAN: -- I know. Carol's been - Carol s been asking me to get something done. So yes.
 ALICEA CHARAMUT: And there was -- there was

one other thing. No. It's just gone from my brain. I apologize. Yeah. But there was one more thing on this subject.

Oh, and just something else I want to stress is that -- So, you know, we also looked at both lists to see, you know, how we can bring folks over to be sort of either official -- have -- hold an official spot or at least an alternate. But as always, whether someone has an official seat on the Advisory Group, everyone who s willing to contribute and work is always welcome and is always welcome to speak up and contribute to the conversation. And that will -- we will continue -- And -- and it s been the case in the Advisory Group. It's been the case in -- in the implementation group as well. And we will continue to encourage that sort of community and foster it as well.

17 |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Good.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: And with that, can I -- If there's nothing else on this, I ll -- I'll hand it over to Carol for the membership update.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Carol?

CAROL HASKINS: Hi, this is Carol Haskins from
 The Pomperaug River Watershed Coalition. And I m on
 the Water Planning Council Advisory Group representing

water resources and I m also the Chair of the Water Planning Council Advisory Group s Nominating Committee.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have -- Our committee has been working to identify which members are up for term renewals and working to fill vacancies within existing terms for folks that are coming up for renewal, as well as other groups that are kind of in between their terms.

And we brought this update to the Water Planning Council Advisory Group at it s meeting last month at the end -- third week in September and we did not have a quorum. So this -- this group of nominees has not yet been kind of endorsed by the Advisory --Advisory Group members itself. So, we re a little behind in terms of bringing a formal slate to you, but we do plan to bring a formal slate to you in November.

And just quickly, we do have three members that are planning to renew their existing terms -- or their terms coming up for renewal in January. And we have candidates other than the consumer group.

And I thank Jack for bringing forward an update on conversations with Clerk Coleman at The Office of Consumer Council.

So I can quickly just run down where we're at right now. Group two are the folks that have renewals coming up in January. And it's a four-year term

renewal.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Regional Water Utilities, the large scales, do (inaudible) planning to renew. For Lakes and Ponds, Sean Hayden is planning to renew. For Conservations, Denise Savageau is planning to renew. Brenda Watson, who s in the Consumer seat, was not plan to renew and Land Protection is vacant and that was Karen Bernaskus (phonetic) who has retired.

So for that particular group for Land Protection, group 2, we ll have a nominee of Kathy Zekiel (phonetic) who s the Land Protection Manager at Save the Sound, filling a similar role to what Karen was filling.

And again, group 2, Consumer, is working with Jack on Office of Consumer Council.

And then our existing vacancies are in the Business Industry Association category, which is a group 3. So they would have terms coming up for renewal next January, the following January. So January 2025. So this is a vacancy where they'd be filling a -- a one-year term.

And we're delighted that we have another member
 from the Waterbury Chamber of Commerce that s their
 Governmental Affairs and Public Policy Director, Steve
 Delvecchio.

And in the recreation category, we have -you'll have -- They re group one. So their terms renewed last year.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you know, Eric Camerlean (phonetic) has left the Connecticut Forest and Park Association and has moved on to Connecticut DEEP. And his alternate was not interested in stepping up to fill the -- the full seat, but Jeff Shaw has been contacted. He's the Vice President of the Connecticut Outdoor Recreation Alliance and his interest -- He's the Senior Policy Advisor in his day job at Connecticut Community Nonprofit Alliance.

So those are the folks whose names we ll be planning to bring to you for an official nomination next month.

MARTIN HEFT: Any questions?

Thank you, Carol, on that. And just to kind of put a caveat on everything as we re going through looking at consolidation, everything else, one of the things may be that some of the work that you've done might get curtailed a little bit, lack of better terms, and we apologize in advance for that.

CAROL HASKINS: No, I understand.

MARTIN HEFT: Depending upon, you know, if we're merging these two, it's going to change some things with membership and everything else.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

So, you know, the January dates are kind of within a plan that, you know, I'll talk about later as a, you know, start for, you know, accommodation groups. So that may change some things, you know, in membership, everything else of appointments, everything. So just so you're aware of that because I'd hate to appoint someone in November and then like, January, okay. You're no longer appointed and we re doing this instead.

So obviously, just want to, you know, put it on the radar as we're, you know, moving forward with, you know, consolidation, reappointing members, everything. It all ties together.

CAROL HASKINS: Absolutely. I understand that that may become moot for some -- some categories or there may be a larger group altogether. So those group assignments may change or I don't know how you're going to frame it, but yes.

20 Certainly understand that and I also apologize 21 that I have not gotten to you the -- like basic -- an 22 overview of the nominating procedures. They -- they 23 kind of exist in snippets, but my -- my own 24 organization has taken priority this year with some of our own internal challenges. So I've had to put some

1 of the Nominating Committee assignments on back burner. 2 MARTIN HEFT: Any other questions for Carol? 3 No worries, Carol. Being chairman of the Nominating 4 Committee is no small task. Believe me. It s always 5 difficult to -- to get the right people and be able to make the commitments. 6 7 So Alicea, did you have something? 8 ALICEA CHARAMUT: And -- and also, just to 9 commend Carol on how organized she is. 10 MARTIN HEFT: Yeah. 11 ALICEA CHARAMUT: You should see her 12 spreadsheets. They re -- they're pretty top-notch. 13 But before we moved on to the Interagency 14 Drought Workgroup update, I just wanted to say thank 15 The Drought After Action Report, the stuff that's vou. 16 in there, it took us, the -- the Drought Workgroup of 17 -- the Implementation Workgroup, it took us about two 18 years to put all that information together for the --19 the droughts that we looked at because, you know, things were here and there and people's emails. 20 21 So the fact that we have this is -- is -- is 22 just thank you for all the work that was put into this, 23 Becca. Really appreciate it because when we have to 24 look back, it's so nice having it all in one document 25 instead of 15 people s buried emails from years ago.

1	So thank you. It's appreciated.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Alicea.
3	Any other comments on the Advisory Workgroup?
4	Alicea, all set?
5	I'm sorry. Who? Oh, Margaret.
6	MARGARET MINER: So Alicea is going to speak
7	for me.
8	ALICEA CHARAMUT: The Watershed Land s report
9	is further down on the agenda. I'll report then,
10	Margaret.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, with the Interface
12	Workgroup, Virginia s not with us, but I think we all
13	kind of know where what's going on with that right
14	now.
15	So we're going to move on to the Drought
16	Workgroup, which it kind of
17	MARTIN HEFT: So, yeah. No Thank you for
18	the comments, you know, on the on the report that
19	was approved. This month's meeting is canceled.
20	As you can tell, after after we finish the
21	report and the amount of rain that we've received that
22	this year, this, you know, as I ll state that we
23	have not, you know, knock wood and all those other
24	lucky things, that we have not declared any drought
25	stages for 2023.

1 So that's all I have to report at this time. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Martin. 3 Any questions for Martin? 4 We ll move on to Outreach and Education. 5 Denise Savageau? DENISE SAVAGEAU: Good afternoon, everyone. 6 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DENISE SAVAGEAU: The Outreach and Education Workgroup met yesterday. And sorry for any conflicts for people who didn t get the notice. I had sent out an update to the calendar, I -- and then I don't know if everybody got that calendar update. So sorry if people did miss it. But we had a really good meeting vesterday.

Eric Lindquist was with us and gave a great presentation that we will be distributing on flash drought, rapid onset drought, really important for the work that our group is doing.

As you know, we've kind taken on the task of getting information together on drought preparedness. And so, in one of our main -- Our first focus is drafting some fact sheets that we are -- have in draft form now on private wells and what they should be looking at, but we're also looking at like okay, how do we integrate now some of this information that we got

from Eric when we're doing that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we're going to be looking further about that and sending that information out to everyone.

The other thing that we ve been working on, as you know, we have our workshops each year and we pick a theme this year. So we ve been talking about that.

The theme for this year, again, is Source Water Protection with two significant milestones in protecting drinking water. I put together a little sheet on this and I did send it to the Water Planning Council folks yesterday on that, but there's the 50th Anniversary of the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and the 20th Anniversary of the Connecticut Act for Protection Regulations.

So based on those two things, we re looking at having programs the week of March 4th. So this is for 2024. The week of March 4th is Groundwater Awareness Week. So we thought it would be a great week to celebrate the Act for Protection Act.

The week of May 4th -- Excuse me, May 5th, next year, is Drinking Water Week. And so, we thought we would celebrate the 50th Anniversary of the Safe Drinking Water Act during that week.

And then wrapping up with the program -- And really, with a big focus on Source Water Protection the

week of September 22nd through the 28th and that is Source Water Protection Week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing we ll be doing, now that we ve got some work that has been done on the website, we ll be reviewing the website for relevant materials that will support both these anniversary dates, but also the topic of Source Water Protection.

And one of the things that we re looking at doing is developing some type of a press release for December 16th, which is the official date of the Safe Water Drinking Act, and when it was -- when it was first adopted.

So, love to hear if you have any comments on this. If the Council feels that this is acceptable, we'll move forward with this plan or we can amend it if you have any thoughts. Obviously, it s a -- it s --We re not saying what every program will be. This is the baseline for them to develop the program. We re looking at developing those one-hour workshops with speakers and whatever. And once we -- you give us the go-head that yes, this is kind of the -- the bones that you want us to build off of, we will start looking at the very specific workshops.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Martin?

MARTIN HEFT: Thank you, Denise, for this, you know, the work you're putting through.

Are you looking at doing those workshops during those two particular weeks or those are just, you know, references that the programs wouldn't be during those, you know, two weeks like the March and the --

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MARTIN HEFT: -- and the May week?

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah. We re looking at -- at trying to piggyback because it s be public -- There will be a lot of public awareness around those weeks. Usually the national organizations do work around those. So, we ll look at having a workshop during those weeks. So that, you know, then we could basically say, hey, it's -- it s National Drinking Water week and we're holding a program on and we can kind of piggyback on that, kind of leverage the social media and that type of thing.

MARTIN HEFT: Great. Thank you. And so, reason I ask is because both of those dates, General Assembly will be in session.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Uh-uh [affirmative].

MARTIN HEFT: So trying to do something at the Capitol, the legislative office building on this, so we have awareness there. The March dates are not a problem. The May dates, the only thing I ll mention is that s the last week of session, May 5th through the 11th, they finish on that Wednesday. So it may be difficult to do something that week on the legislative side to get, you know, legislators or people, you know, involved that week.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So it may be something to just look at if we re looking at the calendars, but I -- Hopefully, we can work with one of the, you know, committees or senator representative to get a space in the legislative office building or state Capitol to do the programs live, inperson, so that way, we raise the awareness of the Water Planning Council as well as the Outreach Education, because we know that's one of our goals to do.

And I'd be happy to, you know, to work with you on getting, you know, contacts if we need to to try to get a space to be able to run on hour program, you know, at the, you know, Capitol itself.

I felt -- I think that that's a -- a good point for us to think about, like okay, what we might want to do at the Capitol. We had been, you know, kind of working still with the virtual format, but we could

DENISE SAVAGEAU: All right.

38

Thanks, Martin.

certainly go live and see what we want to do in particular, with -- with -- Since we've got two pieces of legislation we're celebrating, it may be that we -we -- we look at that.

And thank you for letting us know about the calendar. We'll double check on that. And it may be like, okay, if we can't be right -- even though the, you know, they may not be in session, it doesn t mean stuff doesn't happen at the Capitol. So let's see what we can do and we ll definitely take that into consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: Great.

Thank you, Martin.

Graham or Lori, any comments?

GRAHAM STEVENS: Not really a comment, but just to say thank you for the effort and the great thought around, you know, linking those milestones to, you know, the celebration periods and -- and, you know, we re very appreciative of -- of this and we want to amplify everything that the Water Planning Council does from DEEP s perspective, as well as try to coordinate on these outreach efforts and ensure that everyone is there supporting the Outreach and Education Committee. So thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Lori?

LORI MATHIEU: So, same thing. I ll echo what -- what Graham just mentioned. Certainly, our department will be putting together and is working on a series of different events to celebrate throughout the year on the 50th -- 50th Anniversary of the Safe Water Drinking Act, which is significant for our department and leading into this, as Denise mentioned, the December signing date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So -- so, Denise, I think we could coordinate efforts and we re thinking of bringing back some of the -- the past efforts that we used to do before COVID, where during Drinking Water Week, we would present some awards and do some other functions during that week. So, Denise, thank you for your work and I think we could work to coordinate --

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah. Definitely we anticipated particularly, you know, with -- with the Act for Protection Act, I know Kim Zafar (phonetic) and the work that, you know, obviously, DEEP does on that. And then certainly, with the Safe Drinking Water Act, Lori, we anticipated working on -- You know, I didn't put DPH in there specifically. I put AWWA because they're not necessarily on our committee, but DPH is.

So I was looking at, you know, this -- this committee as, you know, as Water Planning Council

involved and certainly, expect that DPH will be -- have a huge role in -- in this. So I ll look for it. And -- and also, with the Source Water Protection being the theme, you know, using Source Water Protection and the -- and the -- you know, the Source Water Protection, you know, group that we have established in the state of Connecticut, so. A Source Water Collaboratives that we have. So how do we -- how do we work on all of that, so. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. End of comments. Denise, thank you very much. I know if it s -the program is anything like what you have done in the past, which we did virtually, was always well attended, these will be a success as well. So it should be very well attended in 2024. If there's -- Alicea, you re up for the next two. ALICEA CHARAMUT: Alicea Charamut, Water Planning Advisory Group. So the Conservation Pricing and Rate Recovery Analysis Workgroup, we met last month and we will be developing a survey. What we want to understand is what are the recent rate experiences for municipals and

Committee, so all the agencies who are, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small community systems and how often do they adjust

their rates?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We -- I ll be working with Marianne to sort of put -- sketch out a draft survey that the group will look at and hopefully, we talked about you know, getting CWA involved in helping us get the survey out and get responses. I hope that's not a surprise to you, that the -- But it -- it's just important for us to know and, of course, I kind of look at this as being a -- an anonymous survey so we can get very honest responses from, you know, municipalities as to -- to what their experience is without worrying about, you know, making their bosses mad. So -- that -- that's our next step.

We are meeting on Thursday and Ali, I will get that agenda to you today so we can get out in time and are there any questions about that before I move on to the next -- one of the things I forgot to mention during the advisory group report was the Source Water Protection White Paper. Right now several folks are -are looking and editing. We are going to be putting this out to a broader audience once we feel comfortable with what s in the white paper. And -- and hopefully, being able to put it by the -- the Source Water Protection Group that works through DPH just to get their feedback on it.

But I -- I think we're still, you know, we re still at around 20-something pages. So, you know, there's quite a bit of content there to look over.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I believe that next meeting, Denise, is some time in the next couple of weeks. I believe it's the -- before the next Water Planning Advisory Group meeting, that -- that morning at 9:00 o'clock.

For the Watershed Lands Workgroup -- Now let me bring up my notes on that. So there were two big discussions that we had at the last Watershed Lands Workgroup. And there were two subjects that -- that we talked about tackling and there was discussion around whether we should do one or the other or both.

One of them was taking a good look at the Aquaphor protection regulations and educate -education about the regulations and enforcement as part of that process.

The workgroup did decide to pursue that as a workgroup and there was also a discussion about a focus on drinking water, watershed land, not owned by water companies and any state land conveyed in trans -transfer that contains this sort of land and taking a look at that process.

Now, there was a long discussion about this and we concluded that there s -- there's a lack of well-

aggregated data for that. And so what the workgroup will be doing going forward is monitoring any progress on bringing that data together, whether it's a GIS layer, but it's -- it's, apparently, the -- the way the towns report up to the state, it's hard to parse out that. It's not consistent. So that's an important part of -- of that process.

And CEQ, actually, did take a look at land transfers through the -- through the environmental monitor, not the transfers through -- through legislation. And they'll be bringing that data to the next Watershed Lands meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions?

ALICEA CHARAMUT: I'll -- I ll be getting a text from Margaret if I forgot something.

THE CHAIRMAN: Or else she ll just -- she'll jump right in, I'm sure.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Oh, she -- she says source water forever.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We love it. Okay. Thank you very much.

22 Moving on to other business, the Pass and 23 Mitigation Strategy. I believe we're still working on 24 getting responses from our respective agencies.

Martin and Lori?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 That's my knowledge is that our MARTIN HEFT: agency team members are working on that going through 2 3 responses and reviewing that and we'll have a further 4 report later. 5 LORI MATHIEU: That's my understanding as well. б Thank you, Jack and Martin. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 8 And we already talked about the report to the 9 legislature. 10 So let's move on to the Council goals and a 11 little bit about the retreat and I'm going to turn it 12 over to Martin for an update. 13 MARTIN HEFT: Sure. Good afternoon, again. 14 You know, at the last meeting, we discussed --15 and I will kind of start out with you know, looking at 16 a -- submitting a midterm budget adjustment, you know, 17 for updating the State Water Plan on this, which we 18 actually looked at. 19 And going through to do the necessary 20 paperwork, the requirements for submitting such, you 21 know, through the whole entire process. Part of the 22 difficulty was and, you know, I talked with, you know, 23 secretary of OPM. I ve also then additionally talked 24 with each -- you know, the members of the Water 25 Planning Council to give them this update, which I'm

going to providing to everybody here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That was very -- I was finding it very difficult to actually, you know, answer the questions I needed to do. It's like, you know, responding to overall, you know, okay, what has -- what have we accomplished with this plan, you know, actually particulars. What we planning to do with, you know, with the update of the plan? Why is the need of the plan -- I mean, certain things are, you know, aside just statutory language, you know, of actually having all of these proper items and everything else and looking through all of that.

So kind of came up with a kind of an overall plan to move us forward that incorporates a number of things that came out of the retreat, including, you know, budget. Some of, you know, overall goals. Some we talked about already and then I ll kind of break it down a little bit.

But basically, one of the overall goes -- goals I think that the Water Planning Council needs to really do is we need to, you know, solidify, if you will, or build a more concrete base to work from.

You know, as we just talked about outreach and education, we need to make sure that that is out there for general public, our legislators, everything else, to put a, you know, budget out where all the sudden which happened last year. It s like no one knows what the Water Planning Council does. No one's understanding of, you know, why -- what s the need for the funds? Why do we need to do this?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it s making sure that we educate the proper people and present them with that piece.

We ve talked about combining the Advisory Implementation Groups, our annual report, having it be a two-pager. The review of the water plan. You know, right now we re at a five-year, you know, cycle. What is that cycle that we should be reviewing that at? Should we be doing, as we just did with the drought plan, we went through it and we said, okay. Here are the easy items, if you will, that we can do. We updated up. We have some further recommendations, but that might be a little bit longer-term.

And so, we did kind of, you know, an interim update on the plan and then we're going to have a more robust update as we get through the other recommendations.

And then also look at establishing staffing and we actually have a couple of good things, you know, on that as we're looking at the budget.

So you know, part of this as I'm going to kind

of run through all of this and then have a -- we can discuss and everything.

You know, the Advisory Implementation Workgroup and thank, you know, both of them for their input and everything on this.

We know that state statute allows us to do the advisory group and the others, you know, are not statutorily required, but we have the ability to do so, you know, as a, you know, a council.

We know that, you know, the representatives, you know, alls that we know is that they have to be balanced between the consumptive and non-consumptive interest. There is a suggested list in statutes, but it s not -- it says may. It doesn't say shall, that they'll have to be representative there.

We ve also talked about, you know, should state agencies be on the advisory, you know, for that. Part of my thoughts on that as, you know, state agencies, the advisory board is actually advising the state agencies where I -- personally, I think the state agencies can be there representing, but they should not be voting members because in order for them to vote, you know, at least on my OPM side, for them to vote, they're going to have to come back to the agency and ask, if you will.

1

2

3

And I m -- I believe that's the same, you know, for the other agencies. And, you know, because it is the advisory to the agencies here, I think there's some rationale for keeping them separate. Not that they can't participate, but maybe they should not be voting members.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We need to look at that full list of the members. Currently, I think there's 20 members and they are on some staggered terms. But I think we start -- You know, I looked at it as a January 1st we start new, if you will, looking at that with new terms for everybody on staggered terms. You know, it should be balanced, you know, three sets, if you will. If it's going to be 18 members, 23 member -- whatever the numbers should be, a third, a third, a third, to stagger it so everyone s not coming off, similar as it is now, you know, for that.

You know, chair, co-chair. I don't think -- we didn't discuss tri-chairs at all, but you know, I don t -- You know, it s kind of like co and co-chairs and obviously up state -- updating the operational guidance procedures. Obviously, that's a big part of it as well as kind of that whole piece.

But I think, you know, if we set a target date, you know, kind of January 1st, that in all essence, the

two existing kind of cease to exist, you know. Some of those members will come over to a new advisory group starting January 1st. Here are the new staggered terms. Everything to go out. You may have some people starting out that may be a one-year term in order to get everybody on cycle-type of thing. That's, you know, as I've had to redo groups over time, that's kind of the way we've done in that way.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's kind of January 1st it all starts anew, if you will, with an advisory group. Here's the membership. Here's the new procedures, everything moving forward.

I also think as part of that we need to reevaluate all of our sub-topical workgroups. Workgroups that are there are all needed, are all a priority. And that goes right down to the Interagency Drought to Watershed Land, Source Water Protection, or are these things the advisory group can do themselves or we have procedures for setting up the workgroups and they just beyond that. So I just think it's a good time to review the whole process.

On the State Water Plan, I kind of mentioned about, you know, the implementation and periodic updates statutes allow for us to do that.

The plan, you know, was adopted in 2019. We

could do an in-term update, you know, for 2024, kinda going through as we did with the drought plan. What quick things do we need to change that aren t and, you know, and what things are longer-term, you know, for a major, you know, full update?

And I believe, you know, Water Plan Council can ask the advisory group to review the recommendations, the status. We could also look at research opportunities to work within our college system and interns to help go through this plan, everything else as has been done in the past especially for UCON, especially, I believe, Eastern did some work with us previously as well.

And then looking at staffing. Under the statutes, Office of Policy and Management is the one responsible to engage any consultants or technical assistance to implement the work program of the Water Planning Council.

So looking at that, I've had some discussions with the secretary. In the current budget cycle, I have a position that's coming up next fiscal year '25, and I've discussed about implementing part of that person's time to be staffing for Water Planning Council.

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This is similar of OPM. We also staff the

advisory commission on intergovernmental relations. And so we have a staff -- I actually have two staff members that devote part of their time to doing so.

So -- and I am willing to have that person as part of our Office of Responsible Growth that deals with the water planning, you know, is Becca, as our drought, you know, coordinator as part of my team and so part of her time already, but we would commit part of this new position that we ll in fiscal 25 to working with the Water Planning Council.

I ve spoken with Jack and I know you have staffing that you do. In between the two, we d actually have some, you know, a start anyways of some staffing for this.

And then, obviously, as I've mentioned looking at researching opportunities through partnership, UCON Eastern, others that we may be able to pull in. Those may not be aware some of the other, you know, water planning around the nation, go through their university systems, their land-use university systems, which for us is UCON, and have a tie with them. We don't have that tie, you know, direct tie. We have, you know, ties with them, but not the tie that we need and I think that we need to establish that.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I believe by doing these things and moving

this stuff forward, it s going to build that concrete base we need and be able to move the Water Planning Council forward in the direction that we're all looking at this being able to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

So that's kind of a whole overall overview and I'm happy -- I mean, I have notes on this, which I'm happy to share afterwards, you know, on it, but these are kind of just some of my draft notes that, you know, I compiled with having to go through the budget process of looking at it, going back through the retreat notes, going back through the statutes, and kind of creating a plan for us, if you will, you know, to move forward, so.

I -- Thank you, Martin. THE CHAIRMAN: I -- I think it's very good, optimistic, well thought out and addresses many of our concerns. And I would like to get some feedback from Graham and Lori.

I think Graham gave a -- Did Graham give a thumbs up?

20 GRAHAM STEVENS: I -- I gave Lori the floor, 21 but --

> THE CHAIRMAN: No.

23 GRAHAM STEVENS: -- I ll go if -- if she 24 doesn't want -- want to go first. 25

But first I just want to say, Martin, I

appreciate the -- the energy that you put into analyzing this and I appreciate you reaching out to -to me on this matter.

Obviously, I think I see -- I can -- I think --I think I can speak for all Water Planning Counselors that, you know, we are -- we are very interested in -in finding a way to, you know, further invest and staff the Water Planning Council, you know, really to -- to, you know, try to match the significant effort to take over to put in, you know, on behalf of their interests and -- and using their time to try to advance water planning in the state of Connecticut.

You know, we are very eager to see your proposal move forward and are, you know, looking forward to opportunities to augment resources as well to help move select initiative of the Water Planning Council forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Lori?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, thank you, Jack.

Yeah, for us to represent the Department of Public Health on -- and our thoughts and, Martin, thank you for all of that. The idea of connecting with our universities, you know, all of the brainpower that exists in our students that are -- are at our

universities across the entire state would be amazing for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there are so many opportunities that are there and then working closer with -- with the University of Connecticut through their, you know, through whatever means possible or others, you know, to keep the -- our eyes wide open and to look at this at a -- in a different way.

You know, the plan is -- has now aged. It is now time for us to step back, you know, after COVID, to step back and look at where we are and that -- the retreat was a really great effort toward that end.

There s so many things that are new. We ve talked about that before as well, that we re just sort of struggling with, right, when the State Water Plan was finalized, such as PFOS (phonetic) and the challenge of PFOS, for instance, on -- on all of us.

And then other things and we've done a tremendous on drought as we ve talked about a lot. But, you know, now is the time to sort of step back and look at what's going on with climate, climate change, and -- and how nimble we probably need to be, right, versus we do a lot of work on drought, but what about floods and the impact with all this extreme weather that we've had and how does that impact our water, our

<sup>1</sup> water resources, our water supply?
<sup>2</sup> And so, there s a lot to do and I'm -- I m
<sup>3</sup> excited to work with you all to identify our best way
<sup>4</sup> forward.
<sup>5</sup> So thank you, Martin, for all of your work in
<sup>6</sup> that area.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much and -- and it's -- it really kind of -- You ve broken down towards where what we came out with the -- the retreat, what we need to be doing moving forward.

The fact that you are making progress within the OPM budgetary world is very, very positive, I believe, and that between our respective agencies, we can probably get up to an FT that would be totally supporting the Council, which is -- which is great news.

Okay. Any other business? Any public comment? Any other public comment? Given -- Alicea.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: So, I -- I do have a question about involving university students. The Yale Conservation Scholar Initiative, they'll be opening applications soon. And if -- The -- the big question is so how does that work? You know, who would they report to?

Say the advisory group had a very specific

project that would be beneficial to have a student work on this to -- to move it forward, who would they report to? What sort of -- what sort of clearance would we need from whom? And, you know, because there's just so many entities involved here. You know, how do we --How would we move that forward if we wanted to -- to get it done?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARTIN HEFT: So I can tell you that, you know, at least, you know, on the OPM side, I believe other state agencies work the same as, you know, most interns need, you know, a sponsoring agency.

So it would have to go through one of the four agencies, you know, of the Water Planning Council for that intern because they need, you know, the -education needs someone to sign off on it. Who's responsible?

We do intern programs all the time at OPM for it. So, it would be, you know, OPM could host the intern, you know, and everything else and, you know, we would have to be the one as the, you know, the parent agency, if you will, or whichever, you know, the area of topic could be under if it's under DPH or DEEP, you know, might be, you know, them that would have to do it. But, you know, there is mechanisms set up within -- within the state of how to do the internship

programs, you know, type of thing.

And I think, you know, we may not be there yet to do that, but it s like we need to start exploring those type of things. And that's really what, you know, my overall piece is here is like we need to start looking at all of this stuff, you know, and seeing.

And there may be that we can pull an intern and to do some of this stuff and that we do once every, well, I think, three times a year at OPM, you know, that we actually post and everything else. But if, you know, we don't have work for them, then we don't, you know, bring an intern in. Because I m not going to have them sit there not doing something, but if there is work or something that comes out of here and that we could say, yeah, okay. We could host them. We could do that, you know. Then, you know, obviously then it's the agency itself that has to, you know, sign off and be the responsible party, if you will.

19

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think -- Yeah, I think -- If I remember correctly, I think when Margaret was the Executive Director, there was somebody -- several people from universities worked through --through Riverside Alliance, I believe. And I'm not sure -- I mean, some of the work might have entailed the -- the 1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Water Planning, so.

But we have interns. I mean, we have people that come here, too, as interns from law schools and other universities and colleges, so. I think we could probably work something out. Yeah. It s --

GRAHAM STEVENS: I -- I agree. And I think there's other models like DPH and DEEP utilize other models in trying to leverage some work in the -- in the university sector and academic sector and are PFOS efforts.

So I think that there's lots of opportunities to -- to engage. I think if the state just puts out a call for interested collaborators, we will -- we will find a significant number of professors and students who want to work with us.

It -- it does take some time, regardless of how it's structured, but, you know, the more that you flesh out what you re looking for, the better outcome will be.

LORI MATHIEU: And I -- I -- I would agree. As the Department of Public Health worked really hard to restructure our internship program and on -- You know, Alicea, those are great ideas, but I -- I think, Martin, you said it best, are -- are we there yet to be able to establish this? And maybe it's just gathering -- right now, gathering all of these ideas from different schools and colleges and creating -gathering these ideas at this moment in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because I don't know if we're at that stage, you know, where we have project lined up -- You know, as you said, Martin, you have to have a project. You have to have a plan. You have to have somebody that they go to every day, you know. And people that they can interact with and make it a very meaningful project for them, but also for, you know, for us as well.

MARTIN HEFT: If I may -- not on that topic, to kind of go back to just our goals and everything because I think part of that is as, you know, Alicea and Dan brought up earlier, I think one part -- kind of next steps on it that I would recommend or -- and ask that the Advisory Implementation Group work to come up with, you know, a listing of here -- You know, the potential categories, even member names, you know, for a new group as of January 1st. Kind of think of that, you know, in that terms, you know.

And I -- I could talk to you off-line, if, you know, structure, you know, type of thing. But, you know, like combining those two groups. These are who the representatives should be and everything else. That way, looking at the staggered terms -- Kind of

just setting it up. Also, start looking at the operational guidelines, everything else, you know, for recommendations for changes and everything, to be able to come back to us, you know. If it could be by the next meeting, terrific. Because, obviously, we re getting -- January 1st is, you know, may not be a January 1st. It might be March 1st, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So don't hold that deep in stone any place. You know, I just picked X for the start of a new year, you know, calendar year. But obviously, we can do it at whatever point we are ready to do that.

But I think that looking at next steps, as you ve kind of asked, that would be our next steps, would be to start composing here s the new advisory group makeup, you know, with the types of, you know, who the -- you know, the stakeholders in it. Who might be the representatives of it? And then looking at the operational guides, you know, and also the workgroups, kind of all that whole piece there, so.

And I'm happy to, you know, come to one of you re meeting -- you know, next meeting, or whatever else, if it's a joint meeting, something, and elaborate more on some of my thoughts on it as well, if need be.

THE CHAIRMAN: And -- and then there s -- On that point, so the reason you cannot send us

individually what -- what you're thinking and -- and we can go to the meeting or just kind of get back to you in what you re thinking in terms of the structure of it all, so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Right. And on that note, it would be helpful for us to know what each member of the Water Planning Council, how they feel how the agency should participate in what sort of category, you know, whether it's an advisory member, nonvoting advisory member, or it would be helpful for us to know from you guys -- You know, I -- I -- I ve -- All right. We've heard your -- your thoughts on it, but, you know, as far as PURA and DEEP and DPH is concerned, it would be helpful for us to know, you know, what you -- you re your thoughts and -- and dreams are for agency participation in -- in -- in a combined group.

MARTIN HEFT: And I might suggest, Alicea, on that is to reach out to each individually and just say, you know, give me your thoughts on people that might be -- that way not doing it all of us as a group because otherwise, it constitutes a meeting.

You know, but if you send to each individual, to us, kind of that question, you know, what do you feel about, you know, agencies participating or who do you feel should be -- make sure that we are definitely

1	represented on the thing. Then we can each get you
2	back our own individual thoughts to help try to put
3	that together.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
5	Any other public comment? Any other public
6	comment? Any other public comment? If not, that
7	brings us to the conclusion of our meeting.
8	Our next meeting will be on November 7th.
9	Very good meeting today. We accomplished a
10	lot, covered a lot of ground.
11	If there's nothing else to come before us, I
12	will entertain a motion to adjourn.
13	MARTIN HEFT: So moved.
14	LORI MATHIEU: So moved.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: So moved and seconded.
16	All of those in favor?
17	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Everyone be safe.
19	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 2:47 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING

I hereby certify that the foregoing 63 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original notes taken of the Regular Meeting held by Remote Access of the WATER PLANNING COUNCIL, which was held remotely before JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIR, and PURA VICE CHAIRMAN, on October 3, 2023.

Vickie E. Wiechec CVR-M, CCR 2237