1	CERTIFIED COPY
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
6	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND
7	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
8	PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY
9	
10	STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL
11	(Steering Committee)
12	Special Meeting held Via Teleconference on
13	February 23, 2024, beginning at 10:04 a.m.
14	
15	Held Before:
16	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, WPC CHAIRMAN,
17	and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	WATER PLANNING COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT:
3	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN (PURA)
4	LORI MATHIEU (DPH)
5	GRAHAM STEVENS (DEEP)
6	MARTIN HEFT (OPM)
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT (on record):
9	VIRGINIA de LIMA
10	MARGARET MINER
11	DAN LAWRENCE
12	CAROL HASKINS
13	ALICEA CHARAMUT
14	BARBARA MASI
15	
16	Staff:
17	LAURA LUPOLI
18	ALYSON AYOTTE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Begin: 10:04 a.m.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the special meeting of the water planning council. We have a full quorum of council members here today.

The only thing on the agenda today is to go through the screening, slash, nominating process, the application process for the Water Planning Council advisory group. There's been some discussion and meetings on this already, but I'm going to turn it right over to Martin, and then we'll open up for questions.

So good morning, Martin.

MARTIN HEFT: Good morning. And thank you, Jack.

Good morning to everybody. I'm just going to do, you know, a quick, high level overview. I apologize to some of you that may have heard this before, because I did attend the Water Planning Council's advisory group earlier this week and did the same thing, and answered questions on that as well.

So thank you Alecia and Dan for allowing me to speak at that meeting on the topic and answer questions on that.

So just as, you know, kind of -- I'll try to keep it, you know, high level without going into all, you know, individual details, but from the start of the process of us looking at consolidating, you know, the water planning advisory group implementation group, you know, and for whatever terms we want to use of just having, you know, a new group -- effective originally we thought, you know, come January with new terms staggered everything -- this goes back to our October meeting when we talked about doing this and consolidating/establishing, you know, new terms talking about, you know, not having state agencies on the advisory group because they're reporting back to the state agencies.

Some of this all stemmed from the overall summit that we had down in Rocky Hill, that Virginia was wonderful and did the -- putting all that together. So I reviewed at that October meeting kind of an outline for how we are going to kind of process this and kind of our goals moving forward.

So you can review back into the minutes, you know, of that whole meeting, as well as the November 7th meeting on it where we've talked

about where we, you know, we're going to revamp
the advisory workgroup, and you know the
membership and the guidelines. Even at that time
we talked about, you know, everyone that was
current, currently on would just continue on until
the consolidation was done and we just reappoint
vacancies.

February 7th, we did send out after our meetings everything we noticed seeking applicants. It was sent out to the WPC list, e-mail list. We had it posted several, you know, spots on the Water Planning Council website. We did send notice out to various organizations, you know, planner's association, UConn CLEAR, Association of Conservation Inland Wetlands, Waterworks Association, asking them to try to just, you know, help spread the word, as well as asking the advisory group to work with their nominating committee to make recommendations, you know as well. And that was obviously a separate motion that was done at that meeting additionally.

So you know, in the notice that you know went out saying, you know, obviously any interested applicants, send a letter of interest, you know, resume into our Chairman. And we also said that

we would forward those to the advisory group with the nominating committee any that have come in.

I know as of today, we received two new ones in, and then one that's a current member as well had sent interest in. So there's, you know, only three that we've received; two would be completely new people from my understanding; one would be, you know, seeking a reappointment.

But we've said from the very beginning that we would be doing all new terms starting with the advisory group, but no one is adverse to having anyone be reappointed, if you will, onto those boards -- but all new terms starting when we finalize, you know, the listing there so reappointments can be done.

I know that Carol has worked very hard and she's even presented, you know, a preliminary list and everything through that, you know, and discussing with current members that are on either implementation group, or the advisory group that are interested in serving that could be part of recommendations.

And then kind of what happens from that point there is, obviously the recommendations that the advisory group nominating committee has will get presented to the Water Planning Council.

Obviously, we'll look at those in addition to any others that we receive in up through the 27th.

I'm not foreseeing that there would actually be a vote at the March meeting of the members, because obviously our deadline of the 27th, there may be, you know, if there's late submissions in that don't get a chance to get reviewed or vetted if you will.

So there may be additional ask of, you know, the advisory group to -- hey, we got some additional ones in. Would you take a look at these as well?

Obviously, we as the Water Planning Council have to look through all the recommendations, any others that we receive in doing our due diligence for that, making them, you know, any, you know, changes or recommendations that we have.

I would project -- this would just be my, you know, personal outline of it -- that would all happen during, you know, the month of March.

April we would, you know, make appointments official, you know, after, you know, review and any additional vetting the Water Planning Council wants to do as well as selecting the, you know,

chair and cochair.

So that's kind of more, you know, high level and kind of just overall process for that, but you know to reiterate, you know anyone that's a current member on either of those that are interested in serving should either directly reach out to, you know, our Chair, or can directly reach out to Carol with the nominating committee to say, hey. You know, I'm interested in, you know, serving or reserving.

I know they're looking, you know -- and Carol has been great. She sent me a preliminary list with her breakdown of three years and everything when we were going through this process a little earlier doing the guidelines, you know, trying to stagger terms and everything that way.

So that's greatly appreciated.

And then obviously, as we said, anyone that's, you know, may not, you know, come through this nominating process of, you know, through the advisory group, you know there is an additional way to be able to get an application in, you know, if you will, which is the same process the Governor's office uses for any state boards and commissions for that.

Obviously, the intent here is to reach out to as many people as possible and look out there to make sure there is availability and there's notice that if someone is interested in serving, they have the opportunity to do so, and that's really the -- just of making sure that we have several avenues for this process to happen and to, you know, get members.

But Jack, I'll turn it back to you to go through any questions or anything that anyone has either from our guests or from our council members.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Martin. Appreciate that overview. Do we have any comments from the council members, and then I'll open up to the -- but is there any comments from council members at this point?

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah. Jack, thank you.

And Martin, I just want to thank you for the organization and your explanation. I think it's a very well formed structured approach, you know, rooted in -- as you just mentioned, rooted into what government typically does. Right? And maybe people are not used to this happening in this way. I know I haven't -- we haven't done this like this

1 in the past. 2 But during the retreat I recall hearing that 3 it would be really great to get more people 4 involved, and that this is a really great way to 5 recruit more people into our arena that -- you 6 know that we are responsible for. 7 So Martin, thank you for that. 8 Appreciate it. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Graham? 10 I mean, nothing that I could add would GRAHAM STEVENS: 11 be new. So thank you. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have questions from 13 any of the WPCAG, or the nominating committee, or 14 anybody else? 15 I'll open it up. 16 ALECIA CHARAMUT: So first of all, I just want to thank 17 you guys for getting the word out. I mean, had we had this sort of support from the Water Planning 18 19 Council on vacancies over the past years, I think 20 we probably would have been able to bring a lot 21 more folks in. 22 So I want to thank you guys, and hope that we 23 continue to get that support from the Water 24 Planning Council.

Process-wise I really think, just to be fair

25

to Carol and the advisory group, just to understand how this is going to move forward for our timelines, and also to understand just for folks who are willing to stay on and be reappointed, you know, what that's going to mean going forward as we're looking at new folks who we would absolutely love to bring on in some way.

But I think just to be fair to the folks that are interested in staying on, what would that look like going forward? Would you be replacing someone because you think there's a better candidate?

And again, I just want clarity so that the folks that have been serving for a while understand the process going forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: Martin?

MARTIN HEFT: Jack do you want me to start with that?

Thanks. Thanks, Alecia, for the comments.

And you know, definitely -- I mean, I agree that,
you know, the Water Planning Council probably
should, you know, should have been doing more
helping to do outreach and everything else that
way, and I think the whole retreat, everything
helped regenerate and everything else that way.

So yes, you know, believe me going forward

that's obviously why it's written that way in the guidelines as well, that there's responsibility of the Council, the responsibility of the advisory group and everything. So hopefully, you know, moving forward that will continue.

You know, for that I think, you know, I'm going to speak from, you know, I was a former municipal official that had to make appointments on boards/commissions. I know the Governor's office and having worked for the former lieutenant governor that chaired those board commission process.

They go through -- they look at every candidate that is, you know, has put an application in; review whether it is for reappointment, whether it is for new appointment, and you know, consider with that.

Obviously, you know, we have kind of probably a little difference, you know, uniqueness here because, you know, we've got to point people into certain categories, you know, for that there. So you know, where, you know, there may be -- oh, here's a couple of people under this category that now we've got to look at someone that has served on here and someone new that might be, you know,

that's interested.

We as a Water Planning Council then have to look at that, you know, and take all things into consideration and then make a selection of best candidate to fill that spot, you know, on that. And you know some of those things, you know, looking at is, you know, if it's a reappointment, you know, what's their attendance record, you know, on it? Certain things that you would look at there.

If it's a new member, what are they bringing different, you know, to this? Or who are they representing? You know, why are they interested in doing it? You know, so there's a vast variety of things that are going to be looked at for appointment if there's going to be, you know, multiple people for one position that are interested in that seat.

Hopefully that helps clarify a little bit.

I mean, you can't say that there's any guaranteed seat, you know, on it. Every board commission appointment, you know regardless, you know, going through whether you're the municipal government or other things are -- you know when the term is up, they're up.

And you, the appointing authority looks at, you know, all -- all potential members for that position and selects who they feel is best to fill that role, obviously -- you know, in consideration.

That's why I said, you know if it's reappointment, how has their work been on that, on the board or commission? What has their attendance been, you know, and everything else that way? Those are factors including, you know, for that.

So I'd hope that the advisory group, you know, looking at, you know, anyone that's being reappointed, have they been active? Have they not shown up for six months? Okay. Then why are we recommending reappointing that person if that be the case? You know, factors that we would all look at.

So hopefully that helps explain it.

ALECIA CHARAMUT: And also -- and Carol, I don't know if you were still considering this, but it seemed like a good way forward is, I think we would like to get -- just putting a Google form together, because I feel like there are other questions that need to be answered that may help make decisions

going forward.

Like, you know if someone definitely wants to stay on, but there's a middle ground -- right?

I'll stay on if you can't find anybody else. And if there's someone else that needs to, you know that has an interest, you know that person may just be willing to go to the alternate spot and let this person take that spot if they -- if they're sort of in that middle place of, I want out, or I really want to stay on.

You know, you can capture the 'meh.

And I think there were a few other things that you know certainly would be helpful for you guys to have to be able to look at this and move people around.

And Carol, I don't know if you have -- I know there are a lot of questions you needed process-wise on this, and I want to give Carol an opportunity.

Well, first of all, I'll let you -- is that fine? We send some -- a form out that's going to make things a little bit easier for Carol to (unintelligible) --

MARTIN HEFT: So if I may? So I mean, I think that's great. I mean, it's actually -- I wouldn't

consider a form.

So the Governor's office, they actually have an official application form, the Governor's office. Of course, and it's very long because it goes through everything from ethics to everything, you know, through that.

We actually, you know, two associations or committees that OPM appoints members to, we actually use that form, you know, where it talks about, you know, all their background and everything else. And they are required to submit that as their application along as with their intent to do.

It's almost that you know we should develop a form or an application for serving on the Water Planning Council's advisory workgroup -- is what I'm hearing from you, you know, this questionnaire of this is the information I need and everything else.

It's almost like an application so you can gather the information that you need would. It would also be helpful for us because then we could look at those if -- you know, yes, I'm willing to serve as an alternate, or I'm willing to serve as this, you know. Or, yes, I've been a past member.

Well, what is your attendance record?

You know, the questions that you may need to also help you are going to help us. So I think it's a wonderful idea. You know, I think -- ALECIA CHARAMUT: But we don't have time to develop a full application at this point. Right now I'm just talking about something to go out to the current members just to really kind of gather in an organized manner.

MARTIN HEFT: Right.

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't want to over complicate this.

You know we've had this council for 20 -- or this advisory group for 20 years. I don't think we want to get to a degree it looks like when I apply for my job. It's quite different.

I think you put a one-page or whatever, four or five questions together. I think we don't -- the nominating committee can do that, come up with a format and send it out, and for the things that you have questions about you send out. That's it.

I mean, Carol I see your want to talk there.

CAROL HASKINS: Unmuting. Yeah, I think there is a middle ground here in terms of creating something that's really simple that's going to be a really useful tool in terms of capturing all this

information.

So whoever is on the nominating committee or whoever is the chair of it or who, you know, for the folks that are on the Council itself, it's a simple form of your name, your e-mail. Which category are you interested in serving in? Do you want to be a regular member, or an alternate? You know, are you available to serve or attend meetings on this day consistently every month? You know, pretty simple questions here, or, you know.

And then to the point of, you know, speaking to the credentials we don't need a full resume I don't think at this point, but just, you know, a brief bio. So if you're considering somebody new from that call out to, you know, this broader list, you have some kind of frame of reference that, you know not all of us have the institutional knowledge like Jeff Shaws knew. And I'm picking on you just because you are new -- you know, what is your background? Or Kathy Czepiel from Save the Sound.

Like you know, these are folks I didn't know two months ago, but quickly learned a quick background on them -- just in a few, like, in a

paragraph, in five sentences, you know what they can bring to the table. And that's kind of the simplicity of what I'm thinking as far as being able to capture that using a Google form so I'm not inundated with 40 e-mails and trying to track down those 40 e-mails and missing something. It's just going to go right into one central repository for us.

And I will say, Martin, I very much appreciate that the Council will consider a nomination list, but recognize that it's not likely to be approved in March. I think that's a very realistic timeline given some of the confusion that we've had, and the timeline for seeing when the cutoff is to get responses back from the larger call out.

So that, that is very reassuring to me. I was a little panicked about trying to figure out how to get the nominating committee together next week to pull something together to submit to you guys by next Friday.

THE CHAIRMAN: Which is a great segue.

Do we want -- I'll ask my council colleagues, do we want to give it a little bit more? Lori texted me -- do we want to give it a little more,

1 maybe another week? 2 LORI MATHIEU: That's what I'm thinking maybe for us. 3 I know we want to get this done, but to be fair to 4 people in listening to everyone speak about this, 5 that maybe we need to just extend it. 6 I know the deadline is coming up. Right? 7 The end of February, or the 27th? 8 THE CHAIRMAN: February 27th. 9 LORI MATHIEU: So it's Monday. Right? And so there's 10 a lot of questions. I don't want people to 11 lose -- the whole concept, the whole notion of 12 this is that we get more people in. Right? So 13 what is another three weeks? 14 If we give people another three weeks, and we 15 get this form set and we work together on what the 16 form and the format is, you know, the whole idea 17 is to bring new people in and to learn about them. 18 I really love the idea of, you know, I don't 19 know who Jeff is. I see Jeff's picture there. 20 I've never met Jeff. You know, I'd like to learn 21 more. 22 So that you know, Carol, to your point, when 23 it comes to us, the four -- there he is. 24 Hi, Jeff. 25 That we know more about who, who these people

are, what they bring to the table, what's their level of interest. I'd love to hear that. Like, I'd love to have an open-ended question, what's your level of interest? Why do you want to be part of this? You know, it's kind of a cool question, and I'd love to hear people's ideas and thoughts, because I think that would just help us.

So, Martin, I don't know if that -- and Jack, and Graham, I don't know if you're willing to consider a little bit more time.

MARTIN HEFT: Yeah, I have no problem with that. You know, that's why we kind of started out saying, you know, and see if a list was ready in March, we wouldn't even be voting on it yet. And if we needed more time, more than happy to that.

I mean, if it works and says, okay. April, you know, in our April meeting, you submit us the recommendation list, that's fine. I mean, we -- there's no -- you know when we voted, you know, the whole consolidation and everything, we didn't put a specific date on this.

We said, you know, by the spring, we kind of set a target date, you know, on it and everything else. And although it's still four weeks from the official first day of spring, you know, the April

would, you know, coincide with that if we wanted to say, okay. You know, let's pick a date, you know, in mid-March to have everything in by. And that way by April provide us with, you know, all the recommendations and everything.

No issue with that. Obviously, we want everything to be vetted properly and, you know, make sure we've got the best, you know, qualified people that are serving us and going to be our advisory, you know, group.

So I have no concerns with that at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: Graham?

GRAHAM STEVENS: Sorry, Jack. I just had to step away with a phonecall I had to take. My apologies.

THE CHAIRMAN: So the question is, do we want -- and no apologies necessary.

Do we want to extend the application deadline for applications to the Water Planning Council advisory group given the fact there was some confusion? So now that we're getting to what's clarity that we extend it and then we can look at applicants at our April meeting?

GRAHAM STEVENS: That sounds fine to me. And you know that might help with respect to further opportunities to increase the interest.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Alecia, I see a hand -- oh, I'm so sorry,
Martin.

MARTIN HEFT: I'll let Alecia speak first. Go ahead.

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Alecia.

ALECIA CHARAMUT: I was just going to say, if -- I think it would be helpful if we had a deadline before our March meeting, which is the 19th, because that will give us a chance to -- Carol, you know, correct me if I'm wrong, but I think that will give the nominating committee a chance to meet and then just bring it to the advisory group at the March meeting, and cut out an extra meeting.

CAROL HASKINS: I did get the nominating committee committed to meeting next week on Thursday, on leap day. Yeah, Thursday at one o'clock.

So we are on track to meet, and then just thinking about if we are going to move forward with a Google Form, would you like -- would someone from the Water Planning Council or a couple members of the Water Planning Council like to review the questionnaire before we go live with it?

MARTIN HEFT: So I'm more than happy to work with you,

1	Carol, as I've been kind of spearheading it, but
2	obviously if any other council member want to, you
3	can take a look.
4	LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, I would be willing to take a shot
5	and looking at it as well.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have two members of the
7	Council willing to work with you, but let's zero
8	in on that.
9	MARTIN HEFT: I'd like to make a motion, Mr. Chairman?
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah?
11	MARTIN HEFT: I'd like to make a motion that we extend
12	the deadline for applications for the water
13	planning advisory group until to Monday, March 11.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: You're anticipating you're reading my
15	mind here. That's exactly what I wanted to do on
16	that.
17	Do I hear a second?
18	LORI MATHIEU: Second. I second that.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and seconded that we extend
20	the deadline for applications to the Water
21	Planning Council advisory group to Monday, March
22	11.
23	Any questions on the motion?
24	
25	(No response.)

1 THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by 2 saying aye. 3 THE COUNCIL: Aye. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 5 6 (No response.) 7 8 THE CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 9 Thank you, Martin. 10 All right. I see Margaret and I see 11 Virginia. Margaret? 12 MARGARET MINER: Hi. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning. 14 MARGARET MINER: Good morning. Thank you all for 15 coming. To go back to the retreat, which is good 16 to reference, the concept of bringing more people 17 in, I definitely agree with, but I didn't think 18 there would be such an emphasis on bringing more 19 people onto the Council, which after all would 20 only be a half a dozen people or whatever. 21 I thought that the emphasis would be on 22 getting people to come to the Water Planning 23 Council, realize what it does, and participate, 24 come as visitors as to be informed as to our 25

issues.

Because many times I tell people, this might be something for the Water Planning Council. Why don't you, you know, attend it. And that's really not easy. We don't have very much participation from people that come in that I think of as coming in from different parts of the state with different issues.

So I'm a little confused, you know. I don't think a coming in should be limited to having a few different people on the advisory group -- and so that's my opinion. And my question is, it seems to me that the process that we've had -- I think we have a pretty good group. Business and industry is always empty, always struggling on that one, but I agree every group can use refreshing.

But maybe, Martin, you could explain. It seems to me that the role of the advisory group in the process of finding people and recommending them and so forth is different now under the process you're suggesting.

In particular, I think it's different in going from knowing that there's a person who's interested, whoever knows it, and then I think it goes -- I think it used to be like the advisory

group would weigh in at that point. It sounds to me now like that will go straight to the Water Planning Council that will do appointments.

So is there a change in that roadmap in those steps for the advisory group what they used to do, and what they'll be doing now?

MARTIN HEFT: So thanks, Margaret. And I think, you know, your first part of that is definitely, you know, getting other people to come to meetings and everything -- completely different than membership of the advisory group.

So you know that's obviously something that we can help work on as well as getting word out about meetings, or if there's particular issues that, you know, obviously the public is welcome to come to any meetings, you know, because they are public meetings.

Your second part about, you know, process and everything else, it's always been under the authority of the Water Planning Council to appoint the members of the advisory group. That has always been. That is in state statute.

In the past from my, you know, my term, you know, time on this, there's been a vacancy or there are updates, you know, on a regular basis.

The advisory group through its nominating committee has made recommendations to the Water Planning Council. The Water Planning Council has taken action, you know, on those.

The only difference now is that the Water Planning Council has also just reached out to various organizations, members of public, announcing that, hey, we have openings or there may be openings, you know, for the, you know, for that but we also at, you know, last meeting, authorized the advisory group to, you know, vet candidates and do everything that way.

So that whole process is all still the same. The only thing different is that we're trying to broaden that area out by putting some notices out on that, that that's part of it because that is the role, that statutorily of the Water Planning Council, is to make those appointments.

So we're trying to -- and obviously we're all here working together for the same goals on that, but we're creating different pockets for people that might not know the advisory group to be able to apply directly that way. So we're giving them the option to apply right through the Water Planning council. That's the only difference.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.

Any further follow up there, Margaret?

MARGARET MINER: (Inaudible.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Go ahead, Virginia.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: My question follows on what you just said, Martin, in terms of the vetting of the candidates. And I would appreciate some clarification on the role of the nominating committee.

Are you asking the nominating committee to do that vetting and make a choice, and send that along to you? Or are you council members wanting to see the applications so that you do that vetting yourselves?

MARTIN HEFT: I'll speak for myself, and I'm going to say both. I would like to see the applications.

I would like to see the questionnaires on it, because obviously we are the appointing authority.

But obviously, you know, we're going to look at the recommendations made from the committee reviewing all of that -- but obviously, you had that question on a candidate, or similar when we've had vacancies come up. It's presented, here's the background information on this person, why we're recommending them and everything else.

2
 3
 4

Obviously, that's an important factor for us for consideration as well, but you know, personally I would like to see that, you know, the background information on those as well as other people that weren't selected or nominated by the nominating group, you know, because we do need to vet, you know, and look at all of them overall.

LORI MATHIEU: Martin, I would agree with that. I

think we, you know, with this new Google form and
gathering all of this information I think it

would -- it's going to be extremely helpful for
the nominating committee, but as well as us.

But we value dearly your, you know, what you think as a committee about, you know, the people that have come, and you know have an interest.

So yes, I think from my point of view I would like to see all of the information and also, you know, a discussion of how you've made, you know, your choices and your decisions, and what are they based upon. So I think that that's important for us to know as well.

GRAHAM STEVENS: Which I don't think is wholly

dissimilar from what we've done in the past. I'm

typically a pretty optimistic person and I hope we

have, like you know, a wave of people interested

and participating. And it's good -- I think it's good for us, you know, to know who all those folks are and understand some of their backgrounds, you know, at somewhat of a high level.

Because you know we're not going to be able to, you know, necessarily give opportunities for all those folks to participate in, you know, in an appointed role, but it doesn't mean that we shouldn't then be following up with them and ensuring to -- I can't remember if it was Alecia's point about, you know, trying to get more people involved in the Water Planning Council, you know, at large. Right?

We want to grow the importance of this

Council. We want to, you know, increase public

awareness. You know what we do, and you know the

broader the coalition, the greater the potential

for outcomes. So I think this is really going to

be, if anything, hopefully a recommitment of the

water planning councilors to helping with

appointments and recruitments.

And you know, also, you know, modernizing to some extent, you know, based on newer rules, you know, like, you know how we get people into official appointments. And we want to help, is

what we want to do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think we're all in agreement that we would like to -- certainly, we'll look at the recommendation of the Water Planning Council advisory group nominating committee, but we want to kind of see the other candidates as well and the applications, and that type of thing.

Dan Lawrence?

DAN LAWRENCE: Just one really quick administrative question. So if nominations are due into you guys, the request by the 11th of March, what is the process to get that recommendation to you?

Because it's based on a sequence of how the meetings are set up. So we need to figure out whether the Water Planning Council advisory group needs to have a special meeting, because we meet normally after you, quote, unquote, in the month.

So if we could lay out, like, the timetable, that will be helpful Martin or Jack, or Lori -MARTIN HEFT: Well, I think as -- and I won't speak for our Chair, but I think what's happened is as he's received them in he's been forwarding them along, all along -- and he's receiving them. So that way, you know -- obviously through that.

So I don't think there's a special meeting

```
1
         that has to happen, because we're just forwarding
2
         those applications.
3
    DAN LAWRENCE: No, no. I didn't -- Martin, I didn't
4
         mean --
5
    MARTIN HEFT: I'm not sure about --
6
    DAN LAWRENCE: Yeah, I meant, like --
7
    MARTIN HEFT: -- your question.
8
    DAN LAWRENCE: -- if March 11th is the last date,
9
         quote, unquote, people can send in applications --
10
         right? Then when are you guys expecting the
11
         nominating -- the Water Planning Council advisory
12
         group to present a nominating slate to you?
13
    THE CHAIRMAN: I would think before the April meeting.
14
    LORI MATHIEU: Before our April meeting. Right?
15
    THE CHAIRMAN: Before our April meeting, correct.
16
    LORI MATHIEU: Right. So that I think Alecia, you had
17
         mentioned -- when is your next meeting? Or
18
         somebody --
19
    ALECIA CHARAMUT:
                     March 19th.
20
    LORI MATHIEU: March 19th? Okay, does that give you
21
         enough time?
22
    ALECIA CHARAMUT: And I guess the question is to Carol,
         if -- if she thinks that the nominating committee
23
24
         can get something to the advisory group by the
25
         March 19th meeting with a March 11th deadline?
```

CAROL HASKINS: Yeah, I think that, you know, if we know this timeline today, we're already planning to meet next week, and we can, you know, solidify what that form looks like.

That still gives a couple weeks for people to submit responses and -- or, you know, at least ten days for folks to submit something through a form so we can formalize that and then meet after the 11th, but before the, the 19th.

I'm just looking at the calendar for what days those fall.

Yeah, we should be. We should be able to get that group together between the 12th and the 15th I would think, and then have something to send along to Bruce to circulate for the Water Planning Council advisory group for consideration for the meeting on the 19th. And then that would give ample time to send a recommended slate and summary of, you know, the applicant pool up to the Water Planning Council.

MARTIN HEFT: And if, you know, for some reason we, you know, have a thrust of applicants come in whatever else, and more time to -- obviously just, you know, communicate with us and we'd say -- CAROL HASKINS: It's a good problem to have.

MARTIN HEFT: -- we've got to adjust the time. That
would a wonderful problem to have, you know, on
that.

CAROL HASKINS: Yeah, absolutely.

MARTIN HEFT: So obviously you know we can work with, you know, whatever else. I mean, if we wind up getting 30 applicants and, you know, and it's like, wow. Now we've got to process all this and everything -- we need more time. What a wonderful problem to have.

CAROL HASKINS: Absolutely.

THE CHAIRMAN: We like that.

CAROL HASKINS: Yeah. You know, can I just add in, you know, I think because this is a quote -- that's referring to the new advisory group, so it's really -- it's kind of resetting the slate of, you know, it is the existing members, but we're looking at new terms. And because of that, you know, just wanting to get that, everybody consolidated.

And I feel like I have a much clearer sense of this in really casting that net wider. And I think the help -- the discussion today has been very helpful to provide a lot of clarity and get the sense of what the timeline is.

And when we get to the next round of, you know, filling vacancies or people stepping off because their term has ended or something like that, we're not going to be looking at every single seat here. And I think the process is going to be that much clearer going forward.

And I just think it's -- because it's out of cycle for us, it's new -- it's everybody. It's just been a little confusing and, but I think we're getting there.

- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Carol.
- 12 CAROL HASKINS: I think we are there.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: So in that spirit, let's clarify. Now if somebody is on the Water Planning Council advisory group now, they should send a quick note to you saying they would like to continue.

Is that what we want to do?

- CAROL HASKINS: I would like to use a Google Form for that so I'm not tracking 40 e-mails and getting them lost in the shuffle.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe we can do that to simplify, but Alecia sent me a beautiful application.
- CAROL HASKINS: Okay. Yes, I saw that, one sentence.

 But to that point of having that -- like, if

 Alecia was brand new, like Jeff -- sorry to pick

1 on you Jeff. I'm really not -- you're just the 2 one name I thought that was a newbie. 3 But you know, someone that you guys may not 4 be as familiar with, Alecia has been around the 5 block here for a long time -- you know, to have 6 that paragraph just kind of summarizing what her 7 case is. I think it's fair --8 ALECIA CHARAMUT: You might learn something new about 9 me, though. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I was impressed. I was impressed. 11 Okay. 12 ALECIA CHARAMUT: Sorry to interrupt. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Dan's got to go. Thank you, Dan. 14 Okay. Barbara Masi, you have your hand up? 15 BARBARA MASI: Yeah, hi. Barbara Masi. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Hi, Barbara. 17 BARBARA MASI: I'm member of the public. And so if 18 you're looking for public questions let me know, 19 or otherwise I'll step back. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: For? 21 BARBARA MASI: Oh, I'm a member of the public also 22 representing faculty at Yale School of Public 23 Health, Environmental Health Sciences. 24 And so first of all, thank you for sending 25 the notices far and wide. Certainly, you've

1 captured the interest of our faculty in the 2 environmental health sciences. 3 And so now my question is, there are 20 spots 4 on the advisory council. If all 20 members decide 5 they would like to remain, would any other 6 applicants that might be 7 nominated/recommended/considered by your group 8 then be considered as alternates? 9 MARTIN HEFT: Yes. The simple answer, Mr. Chairman is, 10 yes, they could be considered as alternates. 11 But you know the way the guidelines are 12 written is that the person who's in that seat 13 recommends the, you know, the alternate, you know, 14 for the position they are -- obviously being in 15 the same field and everything else, but you know. 16 So there, you know, there's kind of a process 17 for alternates as well, and I'd refer you to the 18 quidelines that are published on the website. 19 ALECIA CHARAMUT: Well, to be clear, Martin --20 MARTIN HEFT: Yes, of course. 21 ALECIA CHARAMUT: Even if there isn't an official spot, 22 we would not want to lose the interests --23 MARTIN HEFT: Oh, correct, yes. 24 ALECIA CHARAMUT: -- of anyone who has expertise. 25 We're an open group. Right? If the only thing

about membership is, you know, you fill the spot that you know statutorily they recommend, and you have voting -- but we rarely even have to utilize that. It's usually only for official votes, you know, like the minutes and stuff like that.

But we have several committees that are working right now. They can always, always, always always use expertise, and whether someone has a spot or not, I would love to see more people with expertise there to help us get the work done that needs to get done.

Because you know it's for a whole bunch of volunteers trying to do good work; we can always use an extra set of hands, more brain cells, and expertise.

LORI MATHIEU: And that's what this, frankly, what this process is all about. And Barbara, thank you for the interest from Yale. Right?

We need -- to Alecia's point, we need everybody at the table, because what we're facing with climate change alone is very serious. Right? And we need everybody's thoughts, and everybody's research, and everybody's work.

All hands on deck. That's what I say.

Right? Let's bring it on. I'd love to have Yale

1 have a number of people involved; I'd love to have 2 UConn, a number of people involved. Any other 3 college that wants to come, university that wants 4 to come and help, absolutely we need that help. 5 So thank you, Barbara, for being on. 6 Thank you. And you'll be thrilled to BARBARA MASI: know that because of the issues of climate change 7 8 and environmental justice, it's really been 9 turning the research toward more practice driven, 10 more community based, looking at these issues 11 among environmental health scientists. And so I 12 think it puts the scientists in a better position 13 to engage with the advisory group. 14 You know sometimes they get very sciencey. 15 I'll say that as a scientist for 30 years, but 16 they really are reorienting and so there's -- it 17 would just be such a thrill to contribute. 18 LORI MATHIEU: Excellent. 19 ALECIA CHARAMUT: We need more sciencey. 20 We'd love the sciencey. 21 LORI MATHIEU: I love the sciencey, and the research 22 and the understanding. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Barbara. 24 Any other comments from council members, 25 public stakeholders?

(No response.)

MARTIN HEFT: I make a motion to adjourn.

THE CHAIRMAN: I was just going to recap that we have extended the date until March 11th for applications, that the Water Planning Council advisory group nominating committee is going to be working on a concise application that we're going to get to us to take a look at.

And Virginia, I see your hand up.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Yes, thank you, Jack.

You had mentioned earlier that the form that Carol is going to be developing or the nominating committee is going to be developing would go to the current members of the advisory group. I would like it to also go to the current members of the implementation workgroup.

And perhaps either have it for anybody who was interested in applying just for consistency and being able to quickly compare one candidate to another -- but definitely to the implementation workgroup.

MARTIN HEFT: Well, when the form is done we'll put the link into the announcement, page 2 on it, so that way it's all there so everything is consistent

1 amongst. 2 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Great. Thank you. 3 And just quickly to follow up on what Alecia 4 said to Barbara, not -- we're making all this 5 discussion about the membership of this committee, 6 but all the meetings are open. 7 Barbara, as you might be very well aware, 8 Iris has been attending very regularly all these 9 meetings and making wonderful contributions. 10 so anybody who is present at the meeting is 11 welcome to speak, is encouraged to speak in the 12 main meetings as well as serve on the various 13 workgroups. 14 So please consider yourself and your 15 colleagues welcome. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Virginia. 17 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Thank you. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: We thank you all. I thought this was an 19 excellent meeting. Appreciate you all getting 20 together on a Friday morning. 21 LORI MATHIEU: And Jack, I have one more point. Given 22 Virginia's point -- and I'm sorry to delay, 23 because I know a lot of us have to run to other 24 things.

That's okay.

25

THE CHAIRMAN:

LORI MATHIEU: But just the point of we have these subcommittees. Right? We have quite a few of them. But maybe we need different subcommittees and new subcommittees.

And the ones that we have maybe need to evolve into something new and different, or you keep what we have and we continue to add. Because that you know the more people that we bring to the table, the more we're going to realize that we maybe have been static for a long time.

And this is a time to renew and refresh and get ourselves positioned for what's coming at us, and what we're dealing with right now.

So there's a lot to think about.

ALECIA CHARAMUT: There is. Can I just say that -MARTIN HEFT: A point of order. Point of order? This
is a special meeting; we can discuss the
membership of the WPC advisory group only as a
special meeting.

So I would hold that discussion until our regular meeting, please?

ALECIA CHARAMUT: Can I just add one thing as it is related to membership, though, and related to this process? That it would be very helpful for any call for subcommittees to have the same level of

```
1
         broadcasting that was done for the membership.
2
    THE CHAIRMAN: So noted.
3
                     I'll entertain a motion to adjourn?
              Okay.
4
    MARTIN HEFT: So moved.
5
    THE CHAIRMAN: Second?
6
    LORI MATHIEU: Second.
7
    THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor signify by saying,
8
         aye.
9
    THE COUNCIL: Aye.
10
    THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
11
12
                           (No response.)
13
14
    THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you all for your participation.
15
    LORI MATHIEU: Thank you.
16
    THE CHAIRMAN: Have a great weekend. Appreciate
17
         everyone.
18
    GRAHAM STEVENS: Have a great weekend.
19
    MARTIN HEFT: Thanks all.
20
    THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
21
22
                          (End: 10:51 a.m.)
23
24
25
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 44 pages are a

complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of

my original verbatim notes taken of the Special Meeting

of the Water Planning Council (Steering Committee),

which was held before JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN,

and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN, via teleconference, on

February 23, 2024.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M #857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025

1	INDEX
2	VOTES TAKEN
3	(Unanimous Approval) DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	WPCAG applications extended to 3/11/2024 25
5	Adjournment 44
6	
7	TOPICS OF DISCUSSION
8	DESCRIPTION PAGE(s)
9	M. Heft: WPCAG application process 4-9 Council Discussion (Google form) 9-43
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	